Iontophoretic delivery of nitric oxide donor improves local skin flap viability
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Abstract—The dimensions of local flaps are often limited by the vascular supply to the distal aspect of the flap. Distal flap necrosis occurs if the vascular supply is inadequate. The purpose of this study was to investigate the use of iontophoretic delivery of nitric oxide (NO) donors to a local skin flap model to improve the survival area of the flap. Thirty-two male Sprague-Dawley rats (300 g) were divided into seven experimental groups to determine the effect of iontophoretic delivery of NO on surface perfusion and flap survival area. A caudally based 3 × 11 cm dorsal skin flap was used to measure the effect of iontophoretic delivery of NO donors to a local skin flap to improve survival area of the flap. Iontophoretic delivery of the NO donors sodium nitroprusside (SNP) and diethylenetriamine NONOate (DETA-NO) resulted in a significant increase in survival area and surface perfusion when compared with sham controls. Iontophoretic delivery of saline was associated with a 13% improvement in flap survival when compared with non-treated controls. Iontophoretic delivery and subcutaneous injection of NO donors (SNP and DETA-NO) increased skin flap viability by demonstrating improved flap survival areas. The results of this study suggest that NO may serve as a postoperative treatment of skin flaps to encourage skin flap survival and prevent distal necrosis.
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INTRODUCTION

Local skin flaps are commonly used in the repair of small-volume wounds. These local flaps generally have random pattern vascularity and are limited in their dimensions by the perfusion pressure within the supplying subdermal and dermal vascular plexus. The distal aspect of a local random pattern flap has the greatest risk of ischemic necrosis because of the limitations in vascular perfusion. Improvements in flap viability might be seen with manipulations that improve blood supply (i.e., use of vasodilators), decrease tissue damage caused by ischemia (i.e., use of free radical scavengers), or speed neovascularization (i.e., use of growth factors). Numerous studies have focused on intrinsic and extrinsic manipulations of local flaps to alter these processes [1–4]. External manipulations, such as preclamping or preconditioning, have been shown to improve flap viability; however, the mechanisms associated with these improvements are not well understood. The
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preclamping method is based on the principle of ischemic preconditioning, which is defined as a brief period of ischemia followed by tissue reperfusion, which is thought to thereby improve ischemic tolerance for a longer period of ischemia [5]. Mounsey et al. were the first to examine the positive effects of preclamping [6], and this technique was then followed by other investigations [7–8]. A potential mechanism by which preconditioning improves flap survival may involve improved availability of nitric oxide (NO) within the flap tissue after the preconditioning period [9–10].

The beneficial effect of NO on tissue flap health has been demonstrated in a number of local flap studies. Supplementation of L-arginine as a substrate of NO has also been shown to significantly reduce necrosis in random pattern flaps [11] and porcine myocutaneous flaps [12]. Further, the use of NO inhibitors significantly increased flap necrosis in the random pattern flaps [11]. However, the clinical utility of NO donors has been limited by the absence of a method to effectively and safely deliver these agents to at-risk local flaps in humans. For example, simple topical application has limited absorption and availability, local injection may be traumatic to flap vasculature, and systemic administration is hampered by dilution and systemic side effects. As such, new methods of promoting increased NO within at-risk tissue should be explored.

A potential method of delivering NO to at-risk tissues is iontophoresis. Iontophoresis involves the use of alternating or direct electrical currents to drive the delivery of charged agents through tissues in order to improve delivery of these agents relative to levels delivered via simple diffusion.

Iontophoresis has been used clinically for improved delivery of local anesthetics and steroid treatments [13–14]. However, it has rarely been used or studied in relation to the treatment of skin flaps [15]. This relative scarcity of investigations is surprising because evidence exists that electrical stimulation can itself affect and improve tissue repair [16–18]. Further, it has been shown that pulsed electrical stimulation can improve the survival of porcine skin flaps [19].

Therefore, iontophoresis was chosen for this study based on three major advantages over passive diffusion: (1) control of penetration can be linked to drug flux by the magnitude of the electrical current; (2) lag times are reduced or removed, making drug action more rapid; and (3) time of administration can be controlled by removal of the iontophoresis patch, which cannot be easily accomplished by topical formulations. This study investigated the use of iontophoretic delivery of NO donors to a local skin flap to improve survival area of the flap. We hypothesized that iontophoretic delivery of a NO donor would increase skin flap survival when compared with a nontreated control group.

METHODS

Thirty-two male Sprague-Dawley rats (average weight = 318 g) were used for this study. In two experiments, animals were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of a mixture of ketamine (90 mg/kg) and 1 percent xylazine (9 mg/kg). After shaving and depilating the back, a caudally based 3 × 11 cm dorsal skin flap was elevated with the panniculus carnosus on all 32 rats [20]. All flaps were sutured into place with 4-0 silk and survived for 7 days.

Sodium nitroprusside (SNP) and diethylenetriamine NONOate (DETA-NO) served as NO donor molecules. The use of these molecules allowed investigation of NO donors with a rapid rate of NO release (SNP) versus a slower rate (DETA-NO). Although each pathway leading to NO formation differs among classes, all NO donors produce NO-related activity when applied and are thus well suited to mimic endogenous NO response [21].

The 32 rats were divided into 8 groups, and treatments were delivered either via injection (INJ) or transdermal iontophoretic delivery (TID). Groups and specific treatments are shown in the Table. The treatment groups in this study were—

1. Sham control, where flaps were created, but no treatment was delivered.

Table.

Groups (n = 4 for each) and treatments used in preliminary study of tissue flap survival as a function of nitric oxide treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>No treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline INJ</td>
<td>0.2 mL PBS injection treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline TID</td>
<td>1 mL PBS TID treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP TID</td>
<td>1 mL solution of 1.25 mg SNP with PBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DETA-NO TID</td>
<td>1 mL solution of 2 mM DETA-NO and PBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP INJ</td>
<td>0.2 mL INJ of 1.25 mg SNP with PBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DETA-NO INJ</td>
<td>0.2 mL INJ of DETA-NO mixed to 2 mM solution in PBS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DETA-NO = diethylenetriamine NONOate, INJ = injection, PBS = phosphate-buffered saline, SNP = sodium nitroprusside, TID = transdermal iontophoretic delivery.
2. Saline TID to examine the effects of electrical stimulation of the skin; 1 mL phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) without NO.

3. Saline INJ; 0.2 mL PBS as a control for the INJ condition.

4. SNP TID; 1 mL solution of 1.25 mg SNP with PBS.

5. SNP TID; 1 mL solution of 12.5 mg SNP with PBS.

6. SNP INJ; 0.2 mL INJ of 1.25 mg SNP with PBS.

7. DETA-NO TID; 1 mL solution of 2 mM DETA-NO and PBS.

8. DETA-NO INJ; 0.2 mL INJ of DETA-NO mixed to 2 mM solution in PBS.

Iontophoretic delivery was provided by a commercially available device (Iomed, Phoresor II, PM850; IOMED; Salt Lake City, Utah) using a small electrode with an area of 7.5 cm² (Iogel, IOMED) and a current of 0.5 mA/cm² for 20 minutes. This dosage was chosen based on the maximum allowable setting without inducing burn or irritation. INJs and placement of the small electrode were made between the 5 and 6 cm mark from the caudal end of the flap. All INJ and TID treatments were given for the first 5 days of the 7-day posttreatment period. At 7 days after flap elevation, all flaps were photographed and planimetry data were analyzed by Simple PCI (Compix; Sewickley, Pennsylvania). In addition, laser Doppler imaging was performed on all flaps (PIM II scanning system, LDPIwin software version 2.0.9, Lisca Development; Linköping, Sweden). A one-way analysis of variance was used to test for differences between treatments in percentage of flap survival and surface perfusion of live tissue using an α-level of 0.05 to determine statistical significance.

RESULTS

Figure 1 is a representative photograph demonstrating the increased tissue survival following NO donor INJ. Figure 2 summarizes the ability of NO donor INJ to augment survival and surface perfusion in rat skin flaps. As shown in Figure 2(a), INJs of both 1.25 mg of SNP and DETA-NO (2 mM) significantly increased survival area by 40 and 33 percent, respectively, when compared with both saline INJ and sham controls (p = 0.006 and 0.02, respectively). However, neither of the NO donors delivered via INJ had a significant effect on surface perfusion, as shown in Figure 2(b).

Figure 1 illustrates the effect of TID of SNP and DETA-NO on rat skin survival. A 2 mM concentration DETA-NO TID resulted in a significant increase in survival area and surface perfusion when compared with sham controls (p = 0.01). In contrast to the INJ groups, 1.25 mg of SNP with TID did not result in a significant increase in flap survival area when compared with sham controls. However, a higher dose of SNP (12.5 mg) TID approached a significant increase in flap survival (p = 0.06), and a significant increase in surface perfusion of flaps was seen with the higher dose when compared with SNP 1.25 mg TID, sham control, and TID saline groups (p = 0.02, 0.002, and 0.03, respectively, as shown in Figure 3(b)).

Confirming the utility of electrical stimulation alone, the TID saline group had a 13 percent improvement in flap survival when compared with nontreated controls. More importantly, DETA-NO delivered via TID more than doubled the improvements in survival area seen with electrical stimulation alone (TID saline group).

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to assess whether administration of an NO donor through transdermal delivery would augment local skin flap survival. We observed that iontophoretic delivery and subcutaneous
injection of NO donors (SNP and DETA-NO) increased skin flap viability by demonstrating improved flap survival areas. These findings allowed us to accept our hypothesis that iontophoretic delivery of NO donor may increase skin flap survival.

This study demonstrated the effectiveness of TID and INJ of NO donors in improving local flap surface perfusion and survival. In addition, although not a statistically significant increase, a 13 percent increase was found in survival area with electrical stimulation alone (TID saline), suggesting that electrical stimulation may promote skin flap survival. Although injection of NO donors proved beneficial, an injection mode of administration might not be clinically useful because of concerns that the injections themselves could produce trauma to the delicate subdermal and dermal vascular plexus of local flaps. The use of TID would eliminate this potential hazard.

Our results are consistent with prior studies that suggest that NO positively affects the wound healing process at several levels. NO is a mediator of angiogenesis by Figure 2.

(a) Average percentage increase in survival via injection (INJ) treatment with and without nitric oxide (NO) donors. (b) Flap perfusion of the different NO treatments and control. $n = 4$ per group. Values are mean ± standard deviation. $^* p < 0.05$ vs sham control and vs INJ control. DETA-NO = diethylenetriamine NONOate, SNP = sodium nitroprusside.

Figure 3.

(a) Average percentage increase in survival area via transdermal iontophoretic delivery (TID) treatment with and without nitric oxide (NO) donors. (b) Flap perfusion of the different NO treatments via TID treatment and control. $n = 4$ per group. Values are mean ± standard deviation. $^* p < 0.05$ vs sham control, $^{†} p < 0.05$ vs Saline TID, Control, and SNP 1.25 mg TID. DETA-NO = diethylenetriamine NONOate, SNP = sodium nitroprusside.
enhancing endothelial cell proliferation, perhaps in part by increasing the expression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) or fibroblast growth factor [22–24]. NO also enhances endothelial migration [25–26]. Finally, the hemodynamic effect of NO as a vasodilator may play a role in its angiogenic effects [27]. Recently, Khan et al. tested the effect of VEGF165 treatment on rat dorsal skin flap viability and discovered that the gains in skin flap viability seen with VEGF165 were reversed with the addition of the nonspecific NO inhibitor [3]. Therefore, they concluded that local subcutaneous injection of VEGF165 in skin flaps is effective in augmentation of skin flap viability by an increase in NO production and an associated increase in blood flow [3]. In summary, these studies suggest that NO improves blood flow before and during the neovascularization process and that these mechanisms are underlying the improvements in flap survival area observed in the current study.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of this study extend previous concepts regarding the role of NO and suggest that NO may also serve as a postoperative treatment of skin flaps to encourage skin flap survival and prevent distal necrosis. The present study successfully demonstrated the use of NO donors and electrical stimulation as a potential therapeutic treatment for the prevention of skin flap necrosis.
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