Electromyogram pattern recognition for control of powered upper-limb prostheses: State of the art and challenges for clinical use
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Abstract—Using electromyogram (EMG) signals to control upper-limb prostheses is an important clinical option, offering a person with amputation autonomy of control by contracting residual muscles. The dexterity with which one may control a prosthesis has progressed very little, especially when controlling multiple degrees of freedom. Using pattern recognition to discriminate multiple degrees of freedom has shown great promise in the research literature, but it has yet to transition to a clinically viable option. This article describes the pertinent issues and best practices in EMG pattern recognition, identifies the major challenges in deploying robust control, and advocates research directions that may have an effect in the near future.
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INTRODUCTION

The use of the electromyogram (EMG) as a control source for powered upper-limb prostheses has received considerable attention, because the idea of restoring function by bridging natural neural pathways is a compelling pursuit. The most straightforward and widely used approach to estimating motor intent is by estimating the intensity of the EMG from electrodes placed on the skin surface, usually placed directly above the remaining muscles that provide the strongest and most stable signal [1]. While basic function can be established in this manner, the corresponding control is seldom intuitive and does not permit effective control of multiple joints in a prosthetic limb. Although myoelectric prostheses have found an important place as a clinical option in upper-limb prosthetics, the limited dexterity of control is often cited as the primary reason for rather low acceptance of these devices [2].

Conventional myoelectric control schemes use an amplitude measure at each electrode site (such as the root-mean-square or mean absolute value of the EMG) to quantify the intensity of contraction in the underlying muscles. Control is achieved by mapping this activity to the required prosthetic function; therefore, it is desirable that these muscles be functionally related to the functions that are to be restored. If physiologically appropriate muscles are available to restore lost function, the EMG can be used intuitively, such as when a person with transhumeral amputation controls a prosthetic elbow by using the residual biceps and triceps. In the absence of physiologically appropriate musculature, substitutions must be used, such as using the wrist flexors/extensors to control a hand. If more than one device is to be used, mode
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switching is often the only strategy (using a hardware switch or co-contraction) to divert control to an elbow, wrist, or hand. This method of control is, however, slow and counterintuitive.

Many factors contribute to the difficulty of extracting sufficient information from the EMG for dexterous, multifunction control. The most obvious and important is the lack of physiologically appropriate musculature from which to estimate the intended motion. This is especially problematic in individuals with high-level limb deficiency, because little or no muscle remains that would produce force in the absent joints.*

Another fundamental challenge is a result of the region of muscle activity that is recorded by EMG. The measurable activity is necessarily from muscle near the surface of the skin and may involve the contribution of more than one muscle, because of EMG cross talk. When multiple EMG sites are used, muscle co-activation (which is present in most upper-limb articulations) adds another layer of complexity. EMG cross talk, muscle co-activation, and limited sampling depth compromise the ability to estimate dexterous motor intent. Cross talk mixes otherwise independent information sources. Co-activation complicates the task of resolving the intended force about a joint. Limited muscle sampling depth constrains the estimation of intent to the activity of only those muscles near the surface.

The simple one-muscle one-function approach to conventional control is naïve to the complexities of EMG cross talk, muscle co-activation, and the contribution of deep muscle. This has motivated the use of a pattern-recognition approach to myoelectric control. By using multiple EMG sites, effective feature extraction, and multidimensional classifiers, one can achieve control of many more classes of motions. While cross talk severely compromises conventional control (the intensity measure is assumed to arise from a single muscle), it is a predictable by-product of the spatial arrangement in the multi-electrode pattern-recognition approach. It may even add information if the “interfering” EMG is not measured by another electrode. The existence of muscle co-activation provides spatial information to a pattern classifier, characterizing the natural synergistic behavior of muscles during a given contraction. The contribution of deep muscles can be at least partially utilized by using features that capture low-intensity, low-frequency components of the composite EMG signal.

The use of EMG pattern recognition has shown great promise for improved dexterity of control in upper-limb prostheses. The concept is by no means new; indeed, the first pattern-recognition–based control schemes were developed as early as the late 1960s and early 1970s [3–5]. Improvements in signal processing, multiple-channel instrumentation, and microprocessor technology have facilitated implementation in embedded control systems. Through the efforts of many academic and commercial initiatives, pattern-recognition–based control appears to be nearing clinical viability. This article describes the current state-of-the-art approach to EMG pattern-recognition–based control, categorizes the major challenges in deploying robust control, and identifies promising research that may have considerable effect on improving myoelectric control.

BACKGROUND—STATE OF THE ART

The task of discriminating among a set of muscular contractions for myoelectric control is influenced by the EMG interface and the pattern-recognition methods.

**EMG Interface**

The use of EMG as an estimator of motor intent is greatly affected by electrode site selection.† This involves the selection of the number and the placement of electrodes. If the availability of useful muscle sites is limited, targeted muscle reinnervation is an effective means of accessing neural information otherwise lost to a person with a high-level amputation, as described below.

**Targeted Muscle Reinnervation**

The success of any myoelectric control scheme is largely determined by the availability of suitable musculature from which to extract control information. For the purpose of establishing an intuitive interface, acquiring the control information from physiologically appropriate

---

*This impediment is not critical in persons with transradial amputation because, depending on the nature of the deficiency, many of the muscles that naturally control the wrist and hand often remain (with the exception of the intrinsic muscles of the hand).

† Other factors pertaining to the EMG interface may be of minor influence, such as the type of electrode and instrumentation, but these will not be discussed here.
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muscles (those that would normally contribute to the function to be restored by the prosthesis) is desirable. Although many physiologically appropriate muscles may be available in the case of persons with transradial amputation [6–7], this becomes a significant problem as the level of amputation becomes more proximal. With higher levels of limb deficiency, restoration of more functionality (devices to control) is required from fewer (or no) physiologically appropriate sites. Even the most sophisticated pattern-recognition approach cannot provide intuitive control without access to the appropriate neural information.

A major advance in the field of upper-limb prosthetics has been the selective transfer of brachial nerves to new muscle sites. This surgical procedure, termed “targeted muscle reinnervation” (TMR), was conceived by Dr. Todd Kuiken at the Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago [8–9]. The most dramatic example of the benefit of TMR in restoring neural pathways is in the case of a person with shoulder disarticulation amputation. The four major brachial nerves (median, radial, musculocutaneous, ulnar) can be transferred to the pectoral muscles (which are of little functional use to a person with shoulder disarticulation). After the nerves are anastomosed to distinct regions of pectoral tissue, conventional surface electrodes can monitor these restored sources of neural information that now correspond to musculature that would have been distal to the amputation (elbow, wrist, and hand), as illustrated in Figure 1.

This procedure has also been effectively applied to persons with transhumeral amputation; the neural information pertaining to hand and wrist function can be restored by transferring the median nerve to the medial biceps and the distal radial nerve to the brachialis or lateral triceps [10]. In persons with transradial amputation, remnant muscles have been shown to provide good* control of wrist and basic hand function but multiple hand grasps may require TMR to restore the neural intent directed to absent intrinsic muscles of the hand [6].

TMR has been shown to provide dramatic restoration of prosthetic function using conventional control [11] by providing physiologically appropriate control sites. The fact that the remnant nerves are usually truncated at a proximal location along their course (prior to branching) results in a mixture of motor functions within the fascicles. The consequence is that the new EMG sites, in general, contain a mixture of functions corresponding to the various branches of each nerve. Through pattern recognition using the TMR sites, these mixtures can be used to discriminate the various functions quite effectively. This was shown by Zhou et al. [10] when a shoulder disarticulation patient was able to discriminate 27 classes of upper-limb function with greater than 97 percent accuracy. This approach has been shown to perform very well in real-time [12] with both shoulder disarticulation and transhumeral amputees, and clinical experience with pattern recognition and TMR shows great promise.

**EMG Site Selection**

Many studies have demonstrated that increasing the number of channels will improve EMG pattern-recognition performance [10,12–15]. For transradial amputees, with electrodes placed above the remnant muscles of the forearm, dramatic improvement in accuracy results when the number of channels is increased up to four or five; beyond this, there are diminishing benefits. This effect has been shown in some detail by Hargrove et al. [16]. Six individuals with intact upper limbs performed 10 types of motion (forearm pronation, forearm supination, wrist flexion, wrist extension, radial deviation, ulnar deviation, key grip, chuck grip, hand open, and a rest state). A 16-channel array of equally spaced electrodes was placed around the circumference of the forearm. The effect of selective electrode placement was investigated by comparing naïve site selection (a

---

*“Good” in the sense that classification accuracies generally exceed 90 percent.
simple symmetric subset of the 16-channel array for 2, 4, 8, and 16 channels) to “optimal” site selection (the N
sites that yield the highest accuracy for each N-channel subset).

Figure 2 shows that optimum channel selection results in 99 percent accuracy with only four channels. Using the naïve site selection scheme, performance climbs quickly to 95 percent with four channels and then gradually increases to 97 percent when using all channels. This indicates that, although benefit can be gained by optimizing site selection, it is not a critical factor, provided that a sufficient number of channels is available. The requisite number is not large (nominally four channels) in the forearm as the spatial coverage of the EMG appears to be sufficient to characterize the available information from the underlying musculature. This was confirmed in this study by comparing the classification accuracy using the EMG from six carefully placed intramuscular sites. No significant difference in accuracy was observed, suggesting that no further discriminant information was available from the EMG.

Although the effect of the number of channels depends on other factors (the number of classes, the physiology and geometry of the underlying musculature), these results appear to generalize well to most studies involving EMG sites on the forearm, as is the case for persons with transradial amputation. For persons with high-level amputations, the required number of channels is not as straightforward because site selection strongly depends on the anatomy of the residual limb or shoulder complex [17]. The required number of channels is very different for TMR users. Because of the rich and somewhat complex information available from nerve transfer sites, as many as 10 electrodes may be required on the pectoralis region and possibly a few other sites for persons with shoulder disarticulation [10].

Pattern-Recognition Methods

Best Practices in EMG Pattern Recognition

All approaches to EMG pattern recognition have the fundamental processing stages shown in Figure 3. The EMG signals may be subject to preprocessing to remove unwanted interference; the most common sources are power line harmonics and motion artifact due to electrode movement.

A feature-extraction stage is used to increase the information density of the EMG signals. Ideally, contraction discrimination information should be retained while other irrelevant information is discarded. Many disparate methods of EMG feature extraction have been investigated, including time-domain (TD) [18–21], autoregressive (AR), and cepstral features [13,22–24]. Joint time-frequency methods have been shown to effectively represent transient EMG patterns resulting from dynamic contractions [25–26]. A comparison of feature sets has shown that for slowly varying EMG patterns, a concatenated TD/AR (TDAR) feature set outperforms all others [16,24] but the slight improvement in performance over simple TD features incurs considerable processing overhead.

If the resultant feature set occupies a high-dimensional space (as is the case with most time-frequency transforms), it may be beneficial to reduce the dimensionality of the feature space by selection of a subset of features [10,27] or a strategic combination of features, such as averaging [26], principal components analysis [25,28], independent components analysis [29], or nonlinear projection [30].

Just as researchers have pursued an extensive range of feature sets, the same can be said of classifiers. Possibly every form of statistical and learning classifier has been investigated and compared for use in myoelectric

Figure 2.
control. An extensive examination of classifiers is given in Scheme et al. [31] and Zecca et al. [32].

A common observation is that, if an effective representation of the EMG signals is in place (an appropriate feature set and a sufficient number of channels), most modern classifiers will have similar performance. This observation was effectively illustrated by Hargrove et al. [16] in their examination of the relative effects of features and classifiers on a common data set (previously described in “EMG Site Selection” section). The effect of the feature set is shown in Figure 4(a), which shows the mean and standard deviation of the classification accuracy over the six subjects. The influence of the classifier is shown in Figure 4(b). One can see that the feature representation has greater influence than the complexity of the classifier. The implication is that, with an appropriate feature representation, the classification task is essentially a linear problem.

The most popular choices of classifiers in recent work are based on marginal advantages in classification performance; these include linear discriminant analysis (LDA) [33], support vector machines [34–37], and hidden Markov models [38–39]. The main advantage of LDA is its simplicity of implementation (especially in an embedded processor) and ease of training.

Before feature extraction, the EMG signals must be windowed; from each window a classification decision will be made. The fundamental trade-off in selecting the window length is that longer windows will improve the stability of the features (reducing the variance and increasing classification performance) but will incur a longer delay in the classification decision.

For conventional proportional control, it has been previously accepted that an actuation delay greater than 300 ms will be perceived by the user. Farrell and Weir investigated window length for direct control of a hand open/closing task and found 150 ms to be optimal [40]. The optimum window length is more difficult to ascertain when pattern recognition is being used, because the relationship among controller delay, classification error, and real-time controllability is complex [41]. Although the classification error tends to decrease with increasing window length [33], increased delay deteriorates performance in manipulation. A real-time control test was used to study the interaction between these effects, and the results suggest that the optimum window length for pattern-recognition control is between 150 and 250 ms, depending on the skill of the subject [41].

Classifier Performance Metrics

Ultimately, the most meaningful assessment of prosthetic control is an evaluation of the function that a user derives from the device. Several tests of prosthetic function have been developed and are widely used for conventional prosthetics [42–47]. These tests are largely qualitative and are intended to measure skill and efficacy during functional tasks. Given the commercially available prosthetic options, most have evolved to be primarily intended for hand manipulation, not multiarticulated tasks involving positioning and orienting a hand using powered wrist, elbow, and shoulder devices. Moreover, they require that a user be fitted with a prosthesis, so conducting these tests on subjects before fitting is not possible. The qualitative nature of the tests presents a challenge when one is trying to develop quantitative metrics for control performance. These tests are affected as much by the capabilities of the prosthetic devices; the fit of the socket; and the opinion, skill, and experience of the admitting clinician as they are by the performance of the control system. For these reasons, EMG pattern recognition has been measured instead by offline
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*The delay is almost entirely due to waiting for the data to be acquired. With most feature sets and classifiers, the processing delay is usually inconsequential because modern microprocessors can perform feature extraction and classification in milliseconds.
metrics (largely classification accuracy) and, only more recently, by real-time tests.

**Offline Metrics.** A recent emphasis on the clinical viability of pattern-recognition-based control has highlighted a disparity between classification accuracy and usability (measured using virtual environments and clinical testing). Lock et al. found only a weak correlation between classification accuracy and usability [48]. Hargrove et al. found that they could improve the results of a virtual clothespin placement task while decreasing classification accuracy by including transient contractions in the training data [49]. One possible explanation for this paradox is the simplistic manner in which offline classification metrics are defined.

In their experiments, Hargrove et al. suggested that errors causing inadvertent activations of the limb were more “costly” than those that cause a pause in motion [49]. This may be attributed to the fact that extraneous motions require that the users perform additional corrective motions, increasing frustration and diverging from their originally planned task trajectory. Therefore, defining a metric that directly reflects this contribution to the total classification error is informative. The error rate for purely active decisions, or the active error rate (AER), can be defined as

$$AER = \frac{100\% \times \# \text{Incorrect Active Decisions}}{\text{Total # Active Decisions}},$$

where an active decision is a single output from the control system resulting in limb motion. Using this metric in combination with the total error rate (TER), which can be defined as

$$TER = \frac{100\% \times \# \text{Incorrect Decisions}}{\text{Total # Decisions}},$$

may provide a more representative picture of the usability of a classifier. Note that deceptively forcing AER to 0 percent is possible by setting all output decisions to no motion; therefore, we recommend always including TER as well (which would tend toward 100% in this case) when reporting AER.

**Real-Time Tests.** The desire to provide more meaningful metrics associated with prosthetic function has motivated the development of real-time tests to assess dynamic performance when pattern recognition is being used. Kuiken et al. introduce d a real-time test involving moving a virtual prosthesis through the range of a selected motion [50]. The efficacy of control is measured by the time required to select the desired motion and to complete the desired motion and the percentage of successfully completed motions. Recently, this paradigm has been extended to multiple degrees of freedom (the Target
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Achievement Control test [51]) to more closely resemble functional assessment.

Persons with Amputation Versus Nondisabled Individuals

Most investigations of EMG pattern recognition have used individuals with intact limbs instead of those with limb deficiencies. This is often due to the challenges of accessing an appropriate amputee population for research purposes. It is also true that many studies using individuals seek to explore the pattern-recognition performance in an “ideal” context before dealing with the confounding factors introduced by limb deficiency, such as scar tissue, variation in muscle geometry, and possible changes in cortical representation and motor pathways [52]. A recent study investigated the performance of various classifiers with 10 nondisabled subjects and 5 transradial-amputation subjects [31]. As shown in Figure 5, relatively little difference (within the nondisabled and amputee groups) is seen in the classification error between the better-performing classifiers. What is more interesting, however, is that although the absolute average performance differs between nondisabled and amputee subjects,* the relative performance of the classifiers is fairly consistent between the two groups. That is, the ranking of the classifiers’ performance

*Obviously, certain factors pertain to persons with amputation that are unique and will influence the absolute results, such as the availability and condition of remnant muscle, scar tissue, and the fit of the socket. Proprioceptive feedback is partially or completely absent when persons with amputation perform contractions related to the missing limb, which can affect the articulation of these contractions.

Figure 5.
Comparison of several commonly used classification techniques using conventional classification accuracy. Results represent (a) average over 11 classes of motion collected from 10 nondisabled subjects and (b) average over 7 classes of motion collected from 5 subjects with transradial amputation. ANN = artificial neural network, GMM = Gaussian mixture model, KNN = K nearest neighbor, LDA = linear discriminant analysis, MBC = multiple binary classifier, QDA = quadratic discriminant analysis, SVM = support vector machine.
is consistent whether from an amputee or a nondisabled population. This result is important because it implies that results from nondisabled individuals can be generalized to persons with amputation.

The same observation can be made when different feature sets are compared, as shown in Figure 6; the relative performance of the feature sets is consistent between nondisabled individuals and persons with amputation. Again, one can see that the TDAR feature set outperforms the others.

Training for Pattern Recognition

Pattern-recognition–based myoelectric control will very likely see clinical implementation in the near future and will become increasingly stable as the challenges mentioned in the previous section are met. In the near term, it is essential that appropriate training tools and protocols be developed to enable clinical professionals to effectively configure, train, and maintain pattern-recognition–based systems for their clients. Some valuable insight pertaining to the clinical aspects of training users with pattern recognition can be found in Simon et al. [51], Lock et al. [53], Hargrove et al. [54], Stubblefield et al. [55], Nielsen et al. [56], Armiger and Vogelstein [57], Momen et al. [58], and Burger et al. [59].

Software environments for EMG pattern-recognition training are beginning to have an effect on the research community, and the goal is to retool these to be powerful clinical tools for prosthesis configuration, user training, and assessment. The Acquisition and Control Environment (ACE) software package [60] provides a flexible MATLAB-based environment, allowing real-time data acquisition and visualization, control, and configuration of a prosthesis. Pattern recognition as well as conventional control techniques may be used to drive a prosthetic limb or a simple virtual limb. The Revolutionizing Prosthetics 2009 project, sponsored by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, produced a powerful Virtual Integration Environment capable of real-time control and interaction in a virtual environment [61]. Control elements were derived from ACE (implemented in MATLAB), and the virtual environment realized in MSMS (Musculoskeletal Modeling Software), an open-source software environment [62]. Recently, the Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago developed a stand-alone software package called CAPS (Control Algorithms for Prosthetics Systems) [12] with a refined user interface and intuitive control configuration, intended for research and clinical deployment.
MAJOR CHALLENGES TOWARD CLINICAL ROBUSTNESS

An important question is, What is the required accuracy in order for pattern-recognition control to be considered reliable by the user? The usability of a prosthesis is influenced by many factors, including the fit of the socket, the capabilities of the devices, and the intuitiveness of the control system. In turn, classification accuracy is only one component of the intuitiveness and reliability of the controller since, as a metric, it does not consider the dynamic effects of real-time control.

Experience in establishing the relationship between pattern-recognition accuracy and usability is limited, mainly because the dexterous devices required to exploit multifunction control currently only exist in limited numbers as research prototypes. Experiments have been conducted using virtual environments to avoid the need for devices and to focus on the controller itself [12,48–49]. It seems intuitive that higher accuracy should yield control systems with better usability, but preliminary work suggests that the relationship between accuracy and usability is not so straightforward [17,49,53]. What is evident, however, is that, as accuracy falls below roughly 85 percent, a multifunction system can become frustrating to use and usability can deteriorate considerably. Accuracy is determined by the capabilities of the user, but (for a reasonable number of classes) an accuracy of 90 percent is a reasonable expectation for most users under ideal conditions.

The reality is, however, that ideal conditions do not exist in practical use. The most significant issues to be overcome stem from the act of wearing a prosthesis and using it in a functional manner. These, among others, include electrode shift, variation in force, variation in position of the limb, and transient changes in EMG.

Electrode Shift

Each time a user dons a prosthesis, the electrodes will likely settle in a slightly different position, relative to the underlying musculature. The electrodes may also shift during use because of loading and positioning of the limb. A shift of 1 cm of four electrodes placed circumferentially about the forearm has been shown to increase classification error in a 10-class experiment from roughly 5 to 20 percent (if shifted distally) and to 40 percent (if rotated about the forearm) [54]. Also, including shifted versions of the data in the training session has been shown to virtually eliminate degradation due to shift in the test set [54,63]. A different approach, investigating the effect of bipolar electrode configurations, showed that larger electrodes and wider electrode separation can improve resilience to shift but not nearly as much as incorporating exemplars of shift into the training regimen [64].

Variability in electrode position from day to day may necessitate a daily training session when the prosthesis is being donned. The data from each donning could be stored and reused to emulate the pooling of data from various shift locations. As data are accumulated, the system may become resilient to typical shifts encountered during donning and in use. A daily calibration is probably warranted to accommodate other influences that may affect the EMG, such as electrode impedance (due to skin dryness, humidity), muscle hyper- or hypotrophy, and learning effects as the person with amputation becomes a more experienced user.

Variation in Force

Conventional control schemes usually map the intensity of a contraction to velocity or position of a device, thereby intrinsically incorporating a natural variation in contraction strength. Pattern-recognition control, however, relies on clustering repeatable patterns of EMG activity into discernible classes. Contractions performed at different force levels may be very different from one another and therefore present a challenge to a pattern classifier. To demonstrate the impact of variation in force, we conducted an experiment* in which users performed contractions at 20 to 80 percent of the strongest contraction they felt comfortable producing (this is clearly a subjective rating). Using eight electrodes spaced about the forearm, 11 subjects were asked to produce nine classes of motion (wrist flexion, wrist extension, wrist pronation, wrist supination, hand open, key grip, chuck grip, power grip, and pinch grip). A tenth class of no movement was also included. Each of the nine active classes was performed at each of the prescribed levels of relative effort.

To investigate the ability of a pattern-recognition system to handle variations in force, we trained a classifier by using data from each force level and tested it at each level. The results of the 10-class experiment using a TD feature set and an LDA classifier are shown in Figure 7.

*These are unpublished results acquired for illustration in this review.
As would be expected, training and testing a classifier at the same force level results in the best accuracies (gray bars). The best intralevel accuracy is achieved in the 60 to 70 percent effort range, which may be explained by the fact that subjects generally find it difficult to produce low-level contractions with consistency. High-level contractions sometimes produce tremor, which may degrade recognition.

To determine the ability of a pattern-recognition system to generalize to new force levels not seen during training, we trained classifiers at each force level and then tested them on all force levels. The blue bars show the error when tested on contractions from all force levels. Clearly, the presence of contractions from unseen force levels increases the error considerably, to the point where the system would be unusable (greater than 32% error). The green bars show the recognition error on only the unseen force levels (interlevel error); as expected, this performance is worst of all.

In an attempt to counteract this severe degradation in performance, an obvious strategy is to include exemplars from all force levels in the training set. The orange bar labeled “All” indicates the performance when we pooled data from all force levels and tested on all levels. It is encouraging that the error drops substantially to 17 percent, which is not ideal, but approaching a usable system.

It is not desirable, however, to have persons with amputation undergo an extensive training session that would require contractions from all levels, especially if they are to do this daily to accommodate electrode shift while donning the prosthesis. A restricted protocol was therefore investigated: using only the lowest (20%) and highest (80%) force levels. As shown in the figure (indicated by a red bar), the error increases only marginally (to 19%) from training with all levels. Work is ongoing to further reduce the intralevel errors to levels that are comfortably within a range of a usable system (<10% error).

Variation in Position of Limb

The unrestricted functional use of an upper limb prosthesis necessitates using the limb in a variety of positions. For a person with transradial or transhumeral amputation, this will impose a loading of the muscles inside of the socket from which EMG is being recorded. This will alter the nature of the EMG due to compression of the muscle and, possibly, elicitation of eccentric contraction or mechanical stimulation of the muscle. As well, various limb positions will impose different gravitational forces, causing displacement of the muscles.

Scheme et al. performed an experiment to characterize the influence of limb position on pattern-recognition accuracy [65]. EMG data corresponding to eight classes of
motion were collected from eight healthy nondisabled subjects (seven male, one female). The subjects were fitted with a cuff made of thermoformable gel (taken from a 6 mm Alpha liner by Ohio Willow Wood; Mt. Sterling, Ohio) that was embedded with eight equally spaced pairs of stainless steel dome electrodes. The cuff was placed around the dominant forearm proximal to the elbow at the position with largest muscle bulk. When a cuff is used, only the effect of muscle displacement due to gravity will influence the EMG; compression at the electrode sites only occurs when a socket is used.

Subjects were prompted to produce contractions corresponding to the following eight classes of motion: wrist flexion/extension, wrist pronation/supination, hand open, power grip, pinch grip, and a no motion/rest class. Each repetition was sustained for 3 seconds and a 3 second rest was given between subsequent repetitions. This was repeated twice in each of the limb positions shown in Figure 8.

TD features and an LDA classifier were used to process the data. In a manner similar to the force experiment, the data were trained at each individual position and tested at each individual position. The results are illustrated in Figure 9.

As expected, the intraposition error is low, averaging 6.9 percent over all positions. The worst intraposition error was observed at P7 (humerus reaching forward, elbow bent at 90°); the best is at P3 (straight arm hanging at side). The average interposition error (35%) is considerably worse than the average intraposition error. Indeed, almost none of the situations of interposition classification yield clinically acceptable performance: the lowest error is 13.6 percent when training with arm straight down and testing when leaning down (these should intuitively be similar); the next lowest is 17.7 percent.

As with force and electrode shift, a strategy to reduce interposition error is to train with exemplars from each position. Figure 10 shows that this is an effective approach, yielding an average error of 7.4 percent, which is only slightly higher than the average intraposition error of 6.9 percent.

Using these same data, Scheme et al. have shown that the results can be slightly further improved by instrumenting the humerus and forearm with accelerometers [65–66]. Whether accelerometers are included or only EMG is used, however, the burden remains on the person with amputation to complete a training session with an exhaustive set that includes all positions.

Transient Changes in EMG

Transient changes are additional factors that confound the use of EMG and are a result of short- and long-term variations in the recording environment during use. External interference, electrode impedance changes, electrode shift and lift, and muscle fatigue may alter the EMG and present challenges to clinical robustness.

Strategic filtering and electromagnetic shielding can eliminate most forms of interference. The other sources of variation are intrinsic to the system and cannot be effectively suppressed and are therefore more problematic. Although a pattern-recognition system may be calibrated upon donning, the nature of these effects is unpredictable, and therefore, the system must adapt to the changes in EMG. Adaptive EMG pattern-recognition systems have been investigated by several groups [67–70]. Adaptation of a pattern classifier is challenging because the system must know not only how to adapt but also when to adapt. For a classifier to know how to adapt properly, it must have knowledge (or a reliable estimate) of the intended motion that generates the data to which it must adapt. If the user is directed (supervised) during the data acquisition, the classes will be known and the task is straightforward. The great benefit to be gained through adaptation, however, are during normal, unsupervised use, and therefore, the system must guess the
intended class. Knowing when to adapt is equally critical. When parsing a data stream in real time, the system must be confident that the data are representative of the estimated class. Some success has been reported through the use of entropy measures to characterize the uncertainty in the data and, therefore, attempt to select appropriate data for retraining. Improvement as a result of adaptation has been shown during extended use [67] and for specifically induced effects (electrode shift, fatigue) [70], but the dynamics of adaptation and the interaction with the user are still not well understood. A completely stable, unsupervised solution has yet to be realized but is of great clinical interest.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure 9.</th>
<th>Intra- and interposition error. Rows indicate position from which training data were acquired. Main diagonal represents intraclass errors.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>44.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>33.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>36.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>32.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>47.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**FUTURE PROSPECTS**

The main clinical advantage of surface EMG is that it is noninvasive, but its robustness is limited by inherent problems of electrode movement and lift, skin impedance changes over the day, and motion artifact. Implantable EMG (using wire electrodes) circumvents these issues and has been shown to produce similar pattern-recognition results as EMG, as long as the intramuscular EMG is implanted in the appropriate muscles [16,71]. It is neither convenient nor practical, though, to use percutaneous wires in chronic use, due to the risk of breakage and infection.
Wireless, implanted EMG sensors have recently become available as research prototypes \[72\] and may incorporate the functional advantages of wire electrodes with minimal invasiveness. Implantable MyoElectric Sensor (IMES) electrodes \[73\] are 2 mm in diameter and 15 mm long with a recording electrode on both ends. The devices are powered inductively and transmit EMG data using an inductive link between an external coil and a coil within the device. These devices have been successfully used to decode finger motion in a primate by implantation of nine IMESs in the forearm of a macaque monkey \[74\].

In addition to improved recording robustness, another advantage of embedded EMG sensors is the prospect of providing simultaneous control of multiple degrees of freedom. Although there have been attempts to accomplish this with EMG \[56,75–77\], none of these approaches have been found to be clinically reliable. It is unlikely that robust, simultaneous, independent control of multiple degrees of freedom is attainable for the person with transradial amputation using surface EMG because of the complex nature of forearm muscle synergies \[78–79\], the inherent cross talk in the surface signal \[75,80\], and the displacement in these muscles that occurs during contraction. Various approaches have attempted to decompose the multimuscle synergies into the activity of constituent muscles, but the models are very sensitive to anatomical and electrophysiological factors that may change during use. While more feasible, achieving simultaneous, independent control using intramuscular EMG is still a challenging task, because a stable muscle synergy map must be developed for each user.

There is currently intense international research focused on the recording of motor intent from peripheral nerves and the motor cortex for brain-machine interfaces, functional electrical stimulators, and prosthetic control. Although great advances have been made in these fields, there remain many medical and technical challenges to overcome before they are ready for clinical consideration. An excellent overview of this work is provided in Velliste et al. \[81\], Micera et al. \[82\], and Baker \[83\].

**CONCLUSIONS**

The capabilities and limitations of EMG pattern recognition for prosthetic control are well understood in research settings and are now under scrutiny in the context of clinical application. This is of great interest in terms of functional benefit to users, especially now that highly dexterous, multifunction hands and wrists are commercially available. This article has described the most important aspects of pattern-recognition–based control, which include the EMG interface, signal processing, and performance evaluation. The major issues that must be accommodated to yield reliable performance in real use have been identified and illustrated, and methods for mitigating these issues have been described. The near future will be a transitional period for EMG control, as more clinical experience guides best practices for interfacing, training, and signal processing. Recent developments in intramuscular and neural sensors will one day have a dramatic effect on the field, but only when medical and technical challenges are met.
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