Do diabetic neuropathy patients benefit from balance training?
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Abstract—Peripheral neuropathy is a common complaint of diabetes. Peripheral neural damage in turn will cause balance impairments in diabetic patients. The purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of balance exercises on sway indices in diabetic patients with neuropathy. In this clinical trial study, 10 diabetic patients with peripheral neuropathy were recruited through simple nonprobability sampling along with 10 nondisabled aged-matched subjects. Participants in both groups were examined for balance abilities with the Biodex Balance System before intervention. The experimental group was tested again after intervention. Intervention consisted of progressive Biodex stability and rocker and wobble-board training for 10 sessions. All tests were done in eyes open and closed conditions with bilateral standing. After intervention, the experimental group was re-examined in the same way. Student and paired t-tests were used for data analysis. The overall stability index and anterior-posterior stability index were significantly decreased after treatment in the experimental group during different conditions. Balance indices in the experimental group were significantly higher than the control group before treatment. There was no significant difference in indices between the two groups after treatment. The results show that balance training can improve stability indices in diabetic patients with neuropathy.
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INTRODUCTION

Diabetes is a complex metabolic disease that leads to impaired metabolism of carbohydrates, fats, and proteins [1]. The most common symptomatic complication is diabetic neuropathy, found in 50 percent of patients with diabetes over the age of 60 [2].

Polyneuropathy is a common problem for people with diabetes, leading to pain and impaired sensation and movement in the limbs, which in turn can cause leg ulcers [3]. Diabetic neuropathy plays a significant role in falling among elderly patients [4]; people with peripheral neuropathy caused by diabetes often experience balance disorder [5]. Postural sway in these patients is increased, especially with the eyes closed [6]. Peripheral neuropathy caused by diabetes causes significantly impaired sensation in the feet, reducing patients’ ability to control their balance properly during daily activities [7]. Poor balance can be due to proprioception impairment [8]. Balance problems are also caused by movement-strategy impairment, biomechanical structural disorders, and disorientation [9].

Postural instability caused by peripheral neuropathy increases the impact of microtraumas and wounds [10]. In the elderly, slips or falls are one of the most common causes of injury or death [11]. People with diabetic neuropathy have balance disorders even with open eyes, making them vulnerable to falls [12].

Abbreviations: APSI = anterior-posterior stability index, BBS = Biodex Balance System, LRST = left-right stability index, OSI = overall stability index.
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Proper postural control depends on the spatiotemporal coordination of information received from the vestibular, vision, and somatosensory systems. Damage to one or more of these systems increases the prevalence of falling in older people [13]. Aly et al. showed that patients with diabetic neuropathy had weaker stability measures in all balance indices compared with nondisabled subjects [14]. They also demonstrated that using visual inputs can improve balance among these patients. Emam et al. revealed that diabetic patients with neuropathy had significantly lower balance capability compared with diabetic patients without neuropathy [15].

Vibration [16–17] and passive tactile cues have been used to activate the sensory afferent system to improve balance in diabetic patients [18]. Aerobic exercise is also effective in reducing the risk or severity of peripheral neuropathy in patients [19]. Exercise therapy, including balance exercises, leads to increased oxygen pressure in the lower limbs, skin, and chests of diabetic patients, improving skin blood flow [20]. Group exercise therapy is effective in improving balance in older people and reduces the risk of falling [21]. Any changes in shear stress and pressure on the soles of the feet during standing tasks can stimulate mechanoreceptors to the higher nervous centers, which leads to increased balance ability in patients with diabetic neuropathy [16]. Therefore, the purpose of this study was first to evaluate balance disorders, then to assess the effects of stability exercises on balance indices in diabetic neuropathy patients, and finally to compare these patients with nondisabled age-matched people.

METHODS

Design and Participants
In this clinical trial study, 10 patients who met the inclusion criteria were recruited at the Endocrinology and Metabolism Centre at Firoozgar Hospital (Tehran, Iran) on a convenient sampling as the experimental group. Ten age-matched nondisabled subjects were selected as the control group. The inclusion criteria were age between 35 and 60 years, peripheral neuropathy confirmed by electrodiagnostic tests, and the ability to stand on both feet and on one leg. The exclusion criteria were diabetic ulcers in either foot, lack of blood sugar control, internal ear infections, other nervous system impairments (except neuropathy) or other diseases affecting balance, musculo-skeletal problems such as vertebral column and limb deformity, history of repeated ankle sprains in the year before, severe pain influencing balance, visual problems (inability to see the stabilometer cursor), and the existence of any other factors that interfere with balance except diabetic neuropathy. Not participating regularly in therapy sessions or unwillingness to be in the study led to the exclusion of patients from the investigation.

Data were recorded through interviews and balance tests. Balance ability was measured in different situations with the Biodex Balance System (BBS) (model 302–945; Shirley, New York). Balance tests in the experimental group were performed before and after treatment. Overall stability index (OSI), an index of deviation in any direction from the horizontal plane on the BBS plate; anterior-posterior stability index (APSI), an index of deviation on the BBS plate in sagittal plane; and left-right stability index (LRSI), a deviation on the BBS plate on the frontal plane, were measured. The study design is shown in the Figure.

Measurements
Each subject was tested in six modes: standing on two legs with eyes both open and closed and standing on one leg at a time with eyes both open and closed. Dynamic stability tests were conducted in each mode. All tests and familiarization with the stabilometer machine lasted 45 min for each subject. Subjects were told that each test would be conducted once for familiarity.

Figure.
Study design.
Then, while wearing comfortable clothing and with bare feet, subjects stood on the platform and concentrated on the screen in front of them. The first test was conducted standing on both feet for 20 s with open eyes. The stability level indicating the firmness of the platform was set on 8 during the test, where 8 is the most rigid and 1 the least rigid setting.

While the subject stood in the middle of the platform, he/she was told the platform would release in a moment. Then the subject was told to try to hold the cursor in the middle of a target circle of the monitor. The placement of the feet on the platform was then fixed and the status of the feet was saved on the device.

The first test was performed for familiarity, and then each test was performed three times with 1 min of rest in between. Each test took 20 s. During the test, the subject was told to keep the cursor in the middle of co-centered circles. OSI, APSI, and LRSI were measured. For each mode, the average of three tests was considered the subject index.

Balance Exercise Using Biodex Balance System

The BBS was used for balance training in the experimental group. The subjects had to stand on the platform and try to keep the cursor in the middle of co-centered circles on the monitor with their eyes open or use their proprioception with closed eyes to keep their equilibrium on the force platform. The platform stability level was set on 8 for the first two sessions and was reduced one level every two sessions so that in the ninth and tenth sessions the stability level was 4. From session four on, the subjects also performed the limits of stability exercise with BBS. This exercise was performed two times in each session.

Balance Exercise with Rocker and Wobble Board

Subjects performed balance training exercises on rocker and wobble boards with open and closed eyes. The rocker-board exercise was performed in both dorsi-plantar and left-right directions. Each exercise was performed five times and took 15 s each with 45 s rest intervals. The exercise duration increased 5 s and rest interval decreased 5 s every two sessions.

Statistical Analysis

Data were collected over 5 months, and analysis was conducted using SPSS software version 15.0 (SPSS Inc; Chicago, Illinois). Normal distribution using the Kolmo-
grov-Smirnov analysis was done. The independent samples t-test was used for comparing the control and experimental groups. The paired t-test was used to compare balance indices before and after training in the experimental group. Statistical significance for all tests was accepted below 0.05.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the demographics and characteristics of both groups. Paired t-test analysis of OSI, APSI, and LRSI showed significant decreased in subjects’ scores after training with open eyes in comparison with before training. The OSI decreased from 2.76 ± 0.81 to 1.92 ± 0.38 (p < 0.01). The stability indices were almost the same for closed eyes, except for LRSI (Table 2).

The independent samples t-tests of OSI with open eyes on both feet between the experimental and control groups before training were significantly different (p = 0.006). The difference between the control and experimental groups was not significant after training (p = 0.71) (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

The purposed of this study was to determine the effect of balance exercise on stability indices of patients with diabetic neuropathy and compare them with the control group. To evaluate this effect, primarily we had to determine whether balance was significantly different between nondisabled and diabetic neuropathy patients.

Table 1.
Demographic characteristics of participants (n = 10).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Control (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Experimental (Mean ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>56.20 ± 3.22</td>
<td>55.80 ± 3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>160.80 ± 8.02</td>
<td>162.10 ± 9.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>64.50 ± 10.53</td>
<td>73.50 ± 10.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBS (mg/dL)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>221.80 ± 78.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCV (m/s)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>30.22 ± 1.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FBS = fasting blood sugar, NCV = nerve conduction velocity, SD = standard deviation.
Comparisons of Groups Before Training

OSI and APSI in bilateral standing with open and closed eyes showed significant differences before exercise intervention between the experimental and control groups. This difference demonstrated lower balance ability in patients with diabetic neuropathy according to balance indices, which is consistent with previous studies [1,4–6,10,12,14–15,22–23]. Aly et al. reported significant differences between the stability indices (OSI, APSI, and LRSI) of control and diabetic neuropathy patients, with both open and closed eyes [1]. Corriveau et al. showed that neuropathy’s intensity level significantly correlates with balance deficits [2].

LRSI did not show significant difference between the control and experimental groups during the open-eyes test, but did show a significant difference in the closed-eyes test before training. This could be because of the intensity level of diabetic neuropathy, which could differ between studies. It is expected that even LRSI with open eyes can be affected in severe conditions of neuropathy in lower limbs.

Comparisons of Groups After Training

All indices in open-eye conditions showed no significant difference between the control and experimental groups after training. APSI with closed eyes also showed no change between the two groups. These findings support the idea that balance training can improve the balance ability of neuropathic diabetic patients. Previous studies have also emphasized the positive effects of balance training exercises on stability and body stability [16, 19–24]. The effect of vibration and passive sensory cues on improving balance abilities among diabetic patients also have been reported [16–18]. We believe that both vibration and exercise can activate the afferent sensory input, but that exercise additionally can make the patient actively exert the motor response and necessary movement in reaction to sensory demand. In this way, the afferent motor system also could be trained and improved.

OSI and LRSI demonstrated significant differences between the control and experimental groups after training with closed eyes. This shows that these two index scores were not improved enough by the training exercises to make the two groups alike. We believe that for closed eyes conditions, more balance training exercises would be needed to achieve optimum balance control. This result is the same as that reported by Arnold and Schmitz [25].

Comparisons Within Groups

OSI and APSI showed significant differences before and after training in bilateral standing in open- and closed-eyes conditions. Only LRSI was not significant for the same setting. We believe that APSI is more related to the activation of ankle and sole muscles. These distal limb muscles and their nerves could be affected by diabetic neuropathy more than the proximal body muscles. Left-right stability is mainly provided by the hip abductor and adductor muscles. These proximal muscles and their nerves are rarely impaired in diabetic disease. This could be the reason for no significant change in LRSI before and after training in the present study. This finding was consistent with the study conducted by Aly et al., which showed OSI and APSI have a stronger positive correlation

### Table 2.
Comparison of stability indices between groups (control and experimental) before and after balance training and within group (experimental) before and after balance training.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Control Before Training</th>
<th>Experimental Before Training</th>
<th>Experimental After Training</th>
<th>$p$-Value Between Groups Before Training</th>
<th>$p$-Value Between Groups After Training</th>
<th>$p$-Value Within Experimental</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OSI</td>
<td>1.85 ± 0.43</td>
<td>2.76 ± 0.81</td>
<td>1.92 ± 0.38</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APSI</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.43</td>
<td>2.35 ± 0.84</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.46</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRSI</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.44</td>
<td>1.67 ± 0.42</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSI</td>
<td>3.14 ± 1.09</td>
<td>6.51 ± 1.59</td>
<td>4.30 ± 1.28</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APSI</td>
<td>2.20 ± 0.83</td>
<td>4.78 ± 1.67</td>
<td>2.53 ± 1.10</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRSI</td>
<td>2.29 ± 0.84</td>
<td>4.33 ± 1.22</td>
<td>3.50 ± 1.13</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APSI = antero-posterior stability index, LRSI = left-right stability index, OSI = overall stability index.
with neuropathy in lower limbs [1]. Arnold and Schmitz reported that overall stability is a combination of left-right and anterior-posterior stability elements, but the role of the anterior-posterior stability is more prominent in overall stability [25].

CONCLUSIONS

According to the present study findings, diabetic patients who experience peripheral neuropathy and consequent balance problems can achieve better balance and stability through progressive balance training with emphasis on the anterior-posterior neuromuscular elements of stability. These patients are more reliant on visual inputs, which causes them greater balance problems during closed-eye tests. Based on the findings, we recommend more emphasis on closed-eye exercises. This training should be gradual and persistent so as to have a long-term effect on the patients.
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