Lower-limb performance disparities: Implications for exercise prescription in multiple sclerosis
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Abstract—The purpose of this study was to examine unilateral lower-limb exercise tolerance during fixed-load cycling to quantify performance disparities of the legs. Eight individuals with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis (MS) and seven controls performed submaximal single-leg cycling. Individuals with MS performed significantly more work with the stronger leg than the weaker leg (stronger leg: 6.4 ± 1.7 kJ, weaker leg: 4.7 ± 2.5 kJ, \(p = 0.02\)). The control group displayed no statistical differences between limbs (\(p = 0.36\)). These results highlight a need for individualized exercise testing when prescribing training programs for those with MS.
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INTRODUCTION

Individuals with multiple sclerosis (MS) exhibit higher relative oxygen consumption and heart rates than matched controls at the same walking speeds [1] and incremental exercise workloads [2], suggesting greater metabolic stress [1–2]. Evidence suggests that individuals with MS exhibit bilateral differences in strength and function [3–5], which may help explain, in part, higher energy demand during exercise that could contribute to early onset muscle fatigue and reduced exercise tolerance. A 2005 case study by White and Dressendorfer reported bilateral differences in leg strength and maximal oxygen uptake in an individual with MS who exhibited left leg exercise-induced monoparesis [4]. Chung et al. in 2008 observed that lower knee extensor power asymmetry was greater in individuals with MS than in controls [3]. Unilateral leg weakness has also been observed in individuals with MS [6–7]. In a 2011 study, Larson and White observed bilateral differences in hip bone density in ambulatory individuals with MS, which may illustrate consequences of altered bilateral function [8]. Additionally, Larson et al. in 2013 observed that individuals with MS exhibited significant leg asymmetry in strength, oxidative capacity, and work performed, suggesting that the magnitude of bilateral differences might limit functional abilities [5].

Further research related to potential bilateral differences in limb performance is needed because it might improve our understanding of functional limitations for people with MS. This new information could advance the development of effective prevention and rehabilitation...
strategies to attenuate fatigue and premature disability. Such information warrants consideration when designing exercise programs for people with MS wherein intensity and duration may be outcomes. Differences in lower-limb function can result in limb-loading inequities when conventional exercise program guidelines are used to determine exercise intensity.

The purpose of this study was to examine unilateral lower-limb exercise tolerance during fixed-load cycling to quantify potential performance disparities of the legs. We hypothesized that individuals with MS would exhibit performance disparities between legs.

METHODS

Participants

Fifteen volunteers participated: eight with MS (6 women and 2 men) and seven age- and sex-matched, non-MS controls (5 women and 2 men). Participants with MS were included if they had a clear diagnosis of relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS) and an Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) score of <6.5 (ambulatory without aid) [9]. Each participant had physician’s clearance and signed a consent form approved by the University of Georgia Institutional Review Board prior to participation.

Experimental Design

This investigation was part of a larger study that involved physical performance testing [5]. All participants had a defined stronger leg and weaker leg based on leg extensor strength assessments. This study involved a total of five testing visits (visit 1: screening and familiarization with strength and single-leg cycling, visit 2: leg strength assessment and additional single-leg cycling practice, visit 3: whole body oxygen uptake cycling test, and visits 4 and 5: submaximal fixed single-leg cycling). The testing order of the legs (stronger/weaker) was randomized. Participants were tested at approximately the same time of day and were asked to abstain from alcohol, caffeine, smoking, and exercise 12 h before their testing visits, which were separated by a minimum of 48 h to ensure adequate recovery. Additionally, because the legs were tested on different days, daily screening of fatigue was performed using questionnaires related to fatigue (Modified Fatigue Impact Scale [MFIS] Physical Domain) and the Profile of Mood States Brief Version (POMS-B). If scores were 2.5 standard deviations (SDs) higher than the participant’s previous scores on either the MFIS Physical Domain or the POMS-B, he or she was retested at a later date once fatigue levels returned to normal levels. Physical activity was measured using a questionnaire that asked about frequency, duration, and intensity of physical activity during a typical week. Whole body and limb-specific lean and fat mass were measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (iDXA, GE Healthcare-Lunar; Madison, Wisconsin).

Muscle Strength

Maximal voluntary isometric contractions (MVICs) of the quadriceps of each leg were assessed using a custom chair that set the knee angle at a constant 70°; the thigh and torso were stabilized during testing. The chair’s lever arm was connected to a force transducer, and the digitized signal was recorded using MATLAB (MathWorks; Natick, Massachusetts). Three submaximal contractions followed by a 5 min rest period were performed before three MVICs. The highest MVIC value was used to determine which was the strong/less affected leg and which was the weak/more affected.

Whole Body Oxygen Uptake

Conventional incremental cycle ergometer (Lode; Groningen, the Netherlands) test measured peak oxygen uptake (VO₂peak) under climate-controlled conditions. After a standard warm-up and 5 min rest period, participants cycled at 25 W, increasing 15 W every minute until one of our defined test termination criteria was met: (1) any symptom impairing ability to continue, (2) volitional exhaustion, or (3) pedaling rate below 40 rpm. Expired gases were measured continuously using a calibrated metabolic cart (TrueMax 2400, Parvo Medics; Salt Lake City, Utah). Heart rate was recorded by telemetry (Polar RXS 800, Polar Electro Inc; Lake Success, New York) at the end of each stage. Peak workload was defined as the highest workload sustained for at least 30 s.

Submaximal Fixed-Load Cycling

Single-leg performance was assessed using a submaximal fixed-load exercise test with prior familiarization. The order of right and left leg testing was randomized. Following the same warm-up, participants performed a single-leg 5 min cycling bout of exercise at 20 percent of peak whole body peak workload as determined from previous data [4]. During this test, the foot
was securely fastened to the pedal and the nonexercising leg was positioned on a chair to ensure nonparticipation.

**Statistical Analyses**

The data were initially screened using frequency distributions and estimates of skewness and kurtosis to verify that our data were normally distributed. Analyses were performed using SPSS software version 17.0 (IBM Corporation; Armonk, New York). Independent t-tests were used to detect differences across group differences. Dependent t-tests were used to compare across-limb differences for total work performed. Data are expressed as mean ± SD. An alpha of 0.05 was used for statistically significant differences. Confidence intervals (CIs) were reported and represent either the CI for the mean difference in groups (MS – controls) or CI for the mean difference in legs (stronger leg – weaker leg).

**RESULTS**

**Participant Characteristics**

Eight individuals with MS (6 women and 2 men) and seven healthy controls (5 women and 2 men) completed the study. The mean EDSS score was 2.6 ± 1.6, ranging from 0 to 5 (one participant was a 0, one was a 1, one was a 2, three participants were 3s, one was a 4, and one was a 5), indicating mild to moderate impairment [9]. Disease duration was 12.6 ± 8.1 yr (ranging from 6 to 31 yr). Anthropometric data are shown in Table 1. No statistically significant differences existed between the groups (p > 0.05) (Table 1).

**Whole Body Oxygen Uptake**

Groups were not different for VO2peak (milliliters per kilogram per minute), peak workload (watts), respiratory exchange ratio, peak lactate, and ventilation (liters per minute) (p > 0.05). However, the individuals with MS achieved a significantly lower maximal heart rate (beats per minute) than the controls during the exercise test (p = 0.02), with a Cohen d effect size of −1.36 (which is considered large [10]). Despite not reaching statistical significance between groups, VO2peak and peak workload had large effect sizes (−0.98 and −0.97, respectively) (Table 2).

**Leg Characteristics: Composition and Strength**

Leg lean and fat mass were not statistically different between legs or groups (p > 0.05). The mean between-leg
difference in strength (stronger leg – weaker leg) was not statistically different between groups (MS: 18.3 ± 12.5, control: 4.6 ± 13.3, p > 0.05; Table 3). (Figure 1 displays the individual data for the MS group.) Additionally, the median difference for the MS group was 16.6 lb compared with 4.8 lb in the control group.

Submaximal Fixed-Load Cycling

Individuals with MS performed significantly more work with the stronger leg during the submaximal 5 min test (stronger leg: 6.4 ± 1.7 kJ, weaker leg: 4.7 ± 2.5 kJ, p = 0.02, Cohen d effect size = 0.99, which is considered large [9]). (Figures 2–3 display the individual data for the MS group.) The control group displayed no statistical between-leg differences in their ability to complete the fixed-load cycling trial (stronger leg: 9.2 ± 3.2 kJ, weaker leg 9.1 ± 3.2 kJ, p = 0.36, Cohen d effect size = −0.13, which is considered small [10]; Table 4). The between-leg difference in work performed (stronger leg – weaker leg) was statistically different between groups (MS: 1.69 ± 1.60 kJ, control: 0 ± 0.40, p = 0.03). (Figure 3 displays the individual data for the MS group.) Additionally, the median between-leg difference for the MS group was 1.8 kJ compared with 0 kJ in the control group.

DISCUSSION AND STUDY LIMITATIONS

In our study of ambulatory people with MS, the major finding was that work during a 5 min exercise test was significantly different between legs in people with MS, but not in control participants. People with MS often experience decrements in motor drive, which often affects the lower limbs disproportionally [11]. Activities of daily living such as walking require sufficient synchronization of bilateral motor unit recruitment and discharge rates. Typically, the legs are recruited bilaterally and limb preference may switch depending on the complexity and conditions during the movement [12]. Individuals with MS can be limited in their ability to activate motor units during bilateral movements, which may result in the development of an imbalance in function and performance. The inability to bilaterally modulate and produce motor discharge rates appropriately during exercise could result in further interlimb differences contributing to reductions in exercise capacity and increased levels of premature muscle failure. Our data support this, as evidenced by the inability of the weaker leg to perform the same work as the stronger leg.

The inability of the weaker leg in the MS group to complete the 5 min submaximal fixed-load cycling test may be further evidence of a compensatory motor recruitment switching to the stronger/less affected leg. Comparing workloads during the fixed-load ride to the unilateral incremental test, we found that the weaker leg was actually exercising at approximately 49 percent (range: 24%–104%) of maximal workloads, while the group’s stronger leg was exercising at only 32 percent (range: 25%–58%). In comparison, the control group was exercising at approximately the same relative intensity for both legs (32%). The inability of the weaker leg to maintain the effort indicates a premature muscle fatigue/task failure not observed in the stronger leg or in either leg of the control group. The premature failure observed in the weaker leg of the MS group may, in part, be explained because it was exercising at a higher relative intensity as a result of altered neurological function. However, our study was not able to separate central and peripheral influences on the observation of premature failure in the weaker leg. A combination of these factors

\[ \text{Variable} \quad \begin{array}{lllll} & \text{MS Legs} & \text{Control Legs} & \text{Group} & \text{CI} \\ \text{Lean Mass (kg)} & 0.2 ± 0.41 & -0.1 ± 0.3 & 0.11 & 0.3 \quad (-0.01, 0.7) \\ \text{Fat Mass (kg)} & 0.05 ± 0.2 & 0.3 ± 0.3 & 0.81 & -0.2 \quad (-0.2, 0.2) \\ \text{MVIC (lb)} & 18.3 ± 12.5 & 4.6 ± 13.3 & 0.06 & 13.8 \quad (-0.7, 28.2) \\ \text{Work (kJ)} & 1.7 ± 1.6 & 0.1 ± 0.4 & 0.02* & 1.5 \quad (0.4, 2.9) \end{array} \]

Note: Data are mean ± standard deviation. S – W = difference between stronger (S) and weaker (W) legs. Group difference = mean difference in groups (mean difference of MS legs – mean difference of control legs). Confidence interval (CI) = differences between groups. Lean and fat mass from dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry. Work = total work performed during 5 min submaximal single-leg cycling.

\*Statistically significant differences between groups at p < 0.05.

MVIC = maximal voluntary isometric contraction.
might play an important role in augmenting the asymmetry observed in this group of individuals with MS.

It is also important to note that our study participants were considered to have relatively low aerobic fitness based on oxygen uptake normative values [13]. The MS participants on average were considered to have “very poor” aerobic fitness and the control participants were of “fair” fitness levels [13]. This study suggests that during double-leg exercise testing, the stronger leg contributes a greater portion of overall work performed. Despite not reaching statistical significance between groups, the CI for the VO2peak (−14.3, 0.7), along with the large effect size (−0.98), gives the possibility that the mean VO2peak could be 14.3 points lower in those with MS. Similarly, when comparing the nonsignificant group differences for peak workload (watts), the CI (−86.6, 5.2), along with the large effect size (−0.97), gives the possibility that the mean peak workload could be 86.6 lower in those with MS. This observation, along with the significant submaximal performance differences between limbs in the individuals with MS, has potentially important implications when designing exercise programs and when evaluating program outcomes in people with MS. Exercise programs designed using traditional exercise testing and prescription guidelines might result in inappropriate exercise programming for individuals with lower-limb differences in strength and power. Our data also provide a possible explanation, in part, for why exercise responses may vary considerably across people with MS. When evaluating absolute work, our preliminary findings suggest possible mitochondrial function disparities [14] and even muscle recruitment patterns and a need for individualized testing and programs.

Assessment of individual limb function may reveal performance disparities that may otherwise go unrecognized. Testing for limb asymmetries might contribute to early implementation of therapies to attenuate potential deleterious effects on movement efficiency and daily activity performance. Disparities in lower-limb performance may contribute to heightened fatigue and reduced ability to meet fitness goals. Programs focused on improving
unilateral performance decrements may enhance exercise training responses and contribute to overall health outcomes.

Our study is one of the first to quantify lower-limb bilateral physiological and functional differences during submaximal fixed workload and incremental exercises in individuals with MS. Considering the limited published literature, our small sample size and lack of metabolic measurements warrant further research to fully understand these differences. Additionally, this study involved ambulatory individuals with RRMS; therefore, larger studies with various levels of disease severity would be of interest to further quantify asymmetry and determine whether the magnitude of the differences in performance between legs changes or can be altered in individuals with MS. It is important to note that based on our sample of people with mild MS, there still was a wide variability in asymmetry. For example, some individuals had legs that were relatively similar in strength and function, whereas others had severe asymmetry that was not related to leg dominance (left vs right). Future studies might attempt to uncover the mechanism of this variability in the MS population.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, total work during submaximal cycling was significantly different between legs in the MS group. These results highlight a need for individualized exercise testing when prescribing training programs. Because leg strength and function are fundamental to the maintenance of mobility and independence, additional research to fully understand causes and consequences of limb functional disparities on health, fitness, and function are warranted.
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