Potential neurobiological benefits of exercise in chronic pain and posttraumatic stress disorder: Pilot study
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Abstract—This pilot study assessed the effects of cardiopulmonary exercise testing and cardiorespiratory fitness on plasma neuropeptide Y (NPY), allopregnanolone and pregnanolone (ALLO), cortisol, and dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA), and their association with pain sensitivity. Medication-free trauma-exposed participants were either healthy (n = 7) or experiencing comorbid chronic pain/posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (n = 5). Peak oxygen consumption (VO2) during exercise testing was used to characterize cardiorespiratory fitness. Peak VO2 correlated with baseline and peak NPY levels (r = 0.66, p < 0.05 and r = 0.69, p < 0.05, respectively), as well as exercise-induced changes in ALLO (r = 0.89, p < 0.001) and peak ALLO levels (r = 0.71, p < 0.01). NPY levels at the peak of exercise correlated with pain threshold 30 min after exercise (r = 0.65, p < 0.05), while exercise-induced increases in ALLO correlated with pain tolerance 30 min after exercise (r = 0.64, p < 0.05). In contrast, exercise-induced changes in cortisol and DHEA levels were inversely correlated with pain tolerance after exercise (r = -0.69, p < 0.05 and r = -0.58, p < 0.05, respectively). These data suggest that cardiorespiratory fitness is associated with higher plasma NPY levels and increased ALLO responses to exercise, which in turn relate to pain sensitivity. Future work will examine whether progressive exercise training increases cardiorespiratory fitness in association with increases in NPY and ALLO and reductions in pain sensitivity in chronic pain patients with PTSD.

Abbreviations: ALLO = allopregnanolone and pregnanolone, ANOVA = analysis of variance, BMI = body mass index, CPT = cold pressor test, CPX = cardiopulmonary exercise testing, CSF = cerebrospinal fluid, CSU = clinical studies unit, CV = coefficient of variation, DHEA = dehydroepiandrosterone, DHEA(S) = DHEA-sulfate, DSM-IV = Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-4th Edition, EKG = electrocardiogram, GABA = gamma-aminobutyric acid, GC-MS = gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, IV = intravenous line, NPY = neuropeptide Y, OEF = Operation Enduring Freedom, OIF = Operation Iraqi Freedom, PTSD = posttraumatic stress disorder, RIA = radioimmunoassay, TC = trauma-exposed healthy control, VA = Department of Veterans Affairs, VO2 = oxygen consumption.

*Address all correspondence to Erica Scioli-Salter, PhD; VA Boston Healthcare System, Research Division, 150 South Huntington Ave, 116B-3, Boston MA 02130; 857-364-5696. Email: Ericarose.scioli@va.gov

http://dx.doi.org/10.1682/JRRD.2014.10.0267
Key words: ALLO, biomarkers, cardiorespiratory fitness, chronic pain, exercise, neurosteroids, NPY, pain sensitivity, PTSD, VO₂.

INTRODUCTION

Chronic pain and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) are both disabling and health-threatening conditions that adversely affect the biological, psychological, and social domains of those affected and place a significant financial strain on the healthcare system [1]. Although reported rates vary, as many as 50 to 75 percent of patients who present for PTSD treatment also have a significant chronic pain condition [2–4]. Conversely, among persons presenting for treatment of chronic pain, approximately 20 to 37 percent have PTSD [5]. Furthermore, 80 percent of combat Veterans with PTSD report experiencing chronic pain [6]. While the relationship between chronic pain and PTSD has been observed across all combat theaters, it may be particularly strong among Operation Iraqi Freedom (OIF)/Operation Enduring Freedom (OEF) Veterans because the pain and PTSD conditions in these Veterans are more likely to have a recent onset and to be associated with the same traumatic event [7]. The most recent estimates from a study by Cifu et al. of a nationally representative sample of OIF/OEF/Operation New Dawn Veterans receiving care at Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) polytrauma clinics estimated prevalence rates of chronic pain to be approximately 42 percent [8] (as compared with 11% in the general population) [9]. PTSD rates were 29.4 percent (vs 8% in the general population) [2], and the comorbid chronic pain and PTSD rate was 12.6 percent. Despite the differences in these estimates, the strong relationship between chronic pain and PTSD is clear and the coprevalence of these conditions negatively affects the course of both disorders [1–2].

Research suggests that the relationship between chronic pain and PTSD is maintained by biological as well as psychosocial factors such as comorbid depression, anxiety sensitivity, poor perceived life control, and physical disability [9]. However, while biopsychosocial models of the relationship between chronic pain and PTSD have focused on different empirically supported aspects of the chronic pain-PTSD relationship (e.g., mutual maintenance, shared vulnerability, triple vulnerability, and fear avoidance models) [1–2,10–12], descriptions of the biological contribution are often limited. For example, vulnerability to increased physiological arousal is raised as a relevant pathophysiological process without a clear description of specific neurobiological factors involved. We suggest that to be truly consistent with a biopsychosocial model of illness, the mechanisms underlying the biological contribution to these two disorders should be further examined and delineated. Such an investigation could enable development of novel and more effective pharmacological and nonpharmacological treatments targeted to biological mechanisms that promote comorbid chronic pain and PTSD—treatments that could potentially be individually tailored. This is particularly compelling at a time when the VA is focused on developing alternatives to opiate-based treatments to reduce the effect of comorbid chronic pain and PTSD on the lives of Veterans.

As detailed in a recent review [13], potential pathophysiologic factors shared between chronic pain and PTSD include deficits in neuropeptide Y (NPY) and the neuroactive gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA)-ergic steroids allopregnanolone and its equipotent stereoisomer pregnanolone (together termed ALLO) [14–20]. These antinociceptive and stress-buffering molecules have been found to be low in patients with PTSD and inversely correlated with PTSD symptom severity [14–25]. Given the known antinociceptive properties of NPY and ALLO [15,17–18,20], these molecules also may be low in chronic pain populations exposed to trauma. Previous animal and human studies have shown that exposure to repeated, severe, or life-threatening stress is associated with reductions in resting plasma NPY levels, which are, in turn, associated with increased release of norepinephrine under stress [25]. It is not yet clear whether NPY responses to stress differ between trauma-exposed individuals with and without PTSD. Less is known about the role of trauma exposure versus PTSD vulnerability in relation to ALLO resting levels or stress reactivity. The study that demonstrated a strong correlation between low cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) levels of ALLO in women with PTSD and PTSD reexperiencing symptoms utilized a non–trauma-exposed comparison group [16].

In addition to these inhibitory central nervous system neurosteroids, it is important to consider other neuroactive steroids that are important to acute and long-term stress adaptation and that modulate the NPY and ALLO systems. For example, there is a glucocorticoid response element in the promoter of genes involved in both NPY and ALLO synthesis. Of note, cortisol is thought to be of benefit in acute pain conditions, although sustained high
levels of cortisol have been found to play a role in chronic pain [26]. The androgen dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) is secreted at the same time as cortisol and negatively modulates GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor function while positively modulating excitatory N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor function. Thus, DHEA may acutely antagonize effects of ALLO in neuronal systems, consistent with the observation that higher CSF DHEA/ALLO ratios were associated with increased PTSD reexperiencing and negative mood symptoms in premenopausal women. On the other hand, DHEA also enhances the metabolism of cortisol to an inactive metabolite, and administration of DHEA has been associated both with reductions in plasma cortisol levels and increases in plasma ALLO levels, as well as relief of negative mood. In addition, a higher plasma DHEA to cortisol ratio has been shown to correlate negatively with PTSD and negative mood symptoms [27]. Thus, by including cortisol and DHEA in our preliminary investigation, we hoped to compare profiles of these interacting neuroendocrine factors between chronic pain/PTSD and trauma-exposed healthy controls (TCs).

It is thus of interest that acute intense exercise induces NPY release in healthy humans [28–29] and that rodents show acute increases in plasma and brain allopregnanolone levels after acute swim stress [30–33]. Exercise is also known to increase vagal parasympathetic, as well as sympathetic, neurotransmission and thus has the potential to suppress proinflammatory pathways and thereby reduce pain [34]. We thus hypothesized that an acute exercise challenge test might serve as a method to acutely raise plasma NPY and ALLO levels and affect pain sensitivity, as well as delineate differences in NPY and ALLO physiology between a chronic pain/PTSD population and TCs. We hypothesized that (1) acute exercise-induced changes in NPY and ALLO would be positively correlated with cardiorespiratory fitness, as measured by peak oxygen consumption (VO<sub>2</sub>) during exercise testing, as well as with pain threshold and tolerance after exercise testing, and that (2) baseline levels and reactivity of NPY and ALLO would be lower in the chronic pain/PTSD group than in TCs. Given the variety of interactions between cortisol, DHEA, ALLO, and NPY and the variability in findings for cortisol and DHEA across different pain and PTSD populations [27,35], we did not expect to see clear relationships between pain sensitivity and exercise-induced increases in cortisol or DHEA in this small pilot sample.

METHODS

Participants

Twelve participants with and without chronic pain and PTSD were included in this study of the effect of a single session of peak cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPX) on plasma neurohormone levels (NPY, ALLO, cortisol, DHEA) and pain sensitivity. Seven participants comprised the TC group, and five participants comprised the chronic pain/PTSD group. Approximately 58.3 percent of the sample (n = 7) were male and 25 percent were Veterans (n = 3), all of whom were in the chronic pain/PTSD group. Participants were of several races: African American (42%), Caucasian (33%), Asian (8%), and other (Native American and Indian, 16.7%).

Diagnostic evaluations were conducted by licensed healthcare professionals. The Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale, based on the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders–4th edition (DSM-IV) [36], was used to diagnose and score severity of PTSD. The Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders-Patient Edition [37] was administered to evaluate comorbid psychological disorders. A physical examination, routine laboratory testing, urine testing for illicit substances and cotinine (a by-product of nicotine), and an electrocardiogram (EKG) were administered to establish general health, confirm freedom from substance abuse, and establish safety for participation in the CPX. Chronic pain was established initially through participant self-report of musculoskeletal pain for a minimum of 3 mo with an overall pain intensity of 4 or greater on a 0–10 numerical pain rating scale. These participants were then evaluated by a rehabilitative medicine doctor and given an appropriate International Classification of Diseases-9th Revision diagnosis for musculoskeletal pain, as well as medical clearance from a pain perspective for participation in exercise testing. All study participants were free of psychotropic medications for at least 6 wk and free of pain medications for five half-lives (~24 h in most cases) prior to testing.

Experimental Procedures

See the Appendix (available online only) for a flowchart of study procedures. Once eligibility was confirmed, participants presented for the exercise testing session at a clinical studies unit (CSU). The entire session took place between 8 a.m. and about 12 p.m. In addition to our stringent inclusion/exclusion criteria, participants were
physiological aspects of pain perception [39]. Pain toler-
ance is defined as the number of seconds between hand
immersion and withdrawal from the water and is consid-
ered to correspond to the psychological aspects of pain
perception. Finally, unknown to the participant, a maxi-
mum time limit of 7 min was imposed for safety pur-
poses. A second blood draw was performed 15 min after
the CPT, about 5 min before CPX.

CPX was performed in accordance with guidelines
published by the American College of Cardiology [41].
Once ready for CPX, the participant was transported to
the exercise testing room via wheelchair and transferred to
the recumbent cycle ergometer. EKG electrodes were applied.
The CPX was completed using a progressive staged cycle
protocol, during which a ventilatory expired gas analysis
system (MedGraphics BreezeSuite; St. Paul, Minnesota)
was used to measure continuous gas exchange. Telemetry,
blood pressure, and oxygen saturation were assessed for
each subject 1 min prior to, during, and following the exer-

Neurohormone Assays

On the day of the CPX, collected blood was placed
immediately on wet ice and spun within 15 min of collect-
ion in a refrigerated centrifuge for 15 min. Plasma was
then aliquotted into Eppendorf tubes for storage at –80°C
until assays were performed. Plasma concentrations of
NPY were measured without extraction using a direct
radioimmunoassay (RIA) kit (Euro-Diagnostica-ALPCO
Diagnostics; Salem, New Hampshire). The assay sensitiv-
ity is ~12.81 pg/mL and has <0.1 percent crossreactivity
with NPY22–36, peptide YY, pancreatic polypeptide, and
other neuropeptides. The intra-assay coefficient of varia-
tion (CV) is 4.7 percent, and the interassay CV is 8.4 per-
cent. Plasma levels of ALLO were measured by gas
chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) after separa-
tion of the steroids by high-performance liquid chroma-
tography using previously published methods [43–44].
ALLO levels were identified based on their GC-MS reten-
tion time characteristics; the definitive structural identifi-
cation of each neurosteroid is provided by its unique mass
fragmentation pattern. Only peaks with a signal-to-noise
ratio greater or equal to 5:1 were integrated. The limit of
neurosteroid detection with this method is 1 pg (femtomol
lar sensitivity). Intra-assay CVs range between 2 and
7 percent for each neurosteroid. Concentrations of cortisol
were measured by a solid-phase RIA (Siemens Healthcare
Diagnostics; Deerfield, Illinois) with an intra-assay CV of
approximately 4 percent and interassay CV of approximately
6 percent. The standard range is from 0.5 to 50 μg/dL, with
an assay sensitivity of 0.3 μg/dL. Plasma concentrations of
DHEA were measured by an RIA that requires no prior
sample extraction (Beckman Coulter; Chino, California).
The antiserum crossreacts 100 percent with DHEA but
demonstrates low crossreactivity to other steroids poten-
tially present in subject samples (e.g., crossreactivity to
DHEA-sulfate [DHEA(S)] is 0.02%). The intra-assay
CV is approximately 4 percent, while the interassay CV
is approximately 7 percent. The standard working range is 0.2 to 24 ng/mL, with a theoretical sensitivity of 0.06 ng/mL.

Data Analytic Plan

The distributions of variables under investigation were tested for normality using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, and nonparametric statistical approaches were then employed as appropriate. Thus, to address hypothesis 1, Spearman rather than Pearson correlations were used. Plasma DHEA(S) levels were normalized by age because DHEA(S) levels are known to normally decline significantly over the age range of the study participants [45]. Levels of plasma NPY, ALLO, cortisol, and DHEA(S) were also normalized by body mass index (BMI) when correlated with peak VO₂, which is expressed in milliliters per kilogram per minute. For initial correlations with pain sensitivity, absolute neuroactive hormone levels were used, given that the effect of these neuromodulators within local pain circuits or the brain would not be expected to vary by weight. However, given the absence of standards in the field for such analyses, we repeated these analyses using hormone levels normalized by weight. To address hypothesis 2 and test for the presence of group differences in hormone levels and responses to the CPX, repeated-measures analyses of variance (ANOVAs) were conducted with and without inclusion of BMI as a covariate. Finally, given the small size of this pilot sample, partial eta squared values were reported with each $F$-value in order to better estimate the strength of each finding. Such values were interpreted based on guidelines by Cohen [46]: 0.01 = small; 0.06 = medium; 0.13 = large.

RESULTS

The mean age of the sample was 39.0 ± 10.3 yr. In the pain/PTSD group, two participants had current comorbid major depression, one was diagnosed with simple phobia, one was diagnosed with generalized anxiety disorder, one was diagnosed with lifetime alcohol dependence, one was diagnosed with lifetime substance dependence, and two were diagnosed with lifetime eating disorder. In the TC group, two participants met criteria for lifetime depression (episode) and one for lifetime alcohol abuse. No participants met criteria for a psychotic disorder. All were free of prescribed and illicit drugs, as well as alcohol, for a minimum of 4 wk before participating in exercise testing, and all were free from significant medical illnesses. BMI was calculated using height and weight taken on the day of the exercise test session. For both groups combined, BMI ranged from 18.9 (normal weight) to 51.9 (obese class III), with a mean BMI of 34.9 ± 9.92 (obese class I). The two groups significantly differed in BMI: Mean ($M_{TC}$) = 30.3 ± 9.4 (Obese class I), $M_{pain/PTSD}$ = 41.5 ± 6.8 (obese class III); $t(10) = -2.41, p < 0.05$. For both groups combined, VO₂ ranged from 5.9 (very poor fitness) to 46.5 (excellent fitness), with a mean VO₂ of 22.1 (poor fitness). The two groups did not significantly differ in VO₂: $M_{TC}$ = 24.8 ± 13.2, $M_{pain/PTSD}$ = 18.3 ± 4.8; $t(10) = 1.04, p = 0.32$.

Neuropeptide Y

Figure 1(a) shows individual NPY levels for all participants across the four time points.

A repeated-measures ANOVA with Greenhouse-Geisser corrections showed that NPY levels did not change significantly across time in this small sample ($F(1.31,11.74) = 2.97, p = 0.10$; partial $\eta^2 = 0.25$), although there was a large effect size. There was not a significant difference in NPY levels between groups ($F(1.9) = 0.33, p = 0.58$; partial $\eta^2 = 0.04$), nor was the time by group interaction significant ($F(1.31,11.74) = 0.15, p = 0.77$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.02$). When the analysis was repeated using BMI as a covariate, the effect sizes were similar. For all study participants, there was a positive correlation between VO₂ peak and NPY levels measured at baseline ($r = 0.66, p < 0.05$) and peak exercise ($r = 0.69, p < 0.05$). NPY levels at peak exercise were positively correlated with pain threshold measured 30 min after exercise ($r = 0.65, p < 0.05$). NPY levels normalized by BMI did not correlate significantly with indices of pain sensitivity (i.e., pain threshold or tolerance).

Allopregnanolone and Pregnanolone

Figure 1(b) shows individual ALLO levels for all participants across the four time points. A repeated-measures ANOVA with sphericity assumed revealed significant differences in ALLO levels across time ($F(3,27) = 18.01, p < 0.001$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.67$); post hoc analyses revealed significant increments in ALLO between successive time points ($p < 0.006$ to 0.001). There was not a significant difference in ALLO levels between groups ($F(1.9) = 0.83, p = 0.39$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.08$) or a significant group by time interaction ($F(3,27) = 0.98, p = 0.39$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.10$). These latter findings represented medium to large effect sizes, however, suggesting that the lack of
Figure 1. (a) Plasma neuropeptide Y (NPY) levels for individual participants across four study time points at which it was measured: baseline, pre-exercise, peak of exercise, and 5 min after exercise. (b) Plasma allopregnanolone and pregnanolone (ALLO) levels for individual participants across four time points at which it was measured: baseline, pre-exercise, peak of exercise, and 30 min after exercise. Red = posttraumatic stress disorder/chronic pain participants, Green = trauma-exposed healthy participants. Note that pre-exercise cold pressor test was administered between baseline and pre-exercise time points.

statistical significance was due to the small sample size. When these analyses were repeated using BMI as a covariate, there were similar findings across time points: a large effect size for the group by time interaction and a significant group difference \(F(1,8) = 7.12, p < 0.05\), such that PTSD participants had higher ALLO levels over time than the TC group.

For all study participants, there was a positive correlation between peak \(\text{VO}_2\) and peak ALLO measured 30 min after exercise \((r = 0.71, p < 0.01)\). There were also positive correlations between \(\text{VO}_2\) and the increase in ALLO from the baseline and pre-exercise time points to 30 min after exercise \((r = 0.64, p < 0.05\) and \(r = 0.89, p < 0.001\), respectively). The increase in ALLO from pre-exercise to 30 min after exercise was positively correlated with pain tolerance after exercise \((r = 0.64, p < 0.05)\). When ALLO was normalized by BMI, there were no significant correlations with indices of pain sensitivity.

Additional Neuroendocrine Factors

Cortisol

Figure 2(a) shows mean cortisol levels by group across the three time points at which it was measured. A repeated-measures ANOVA, using BMI as a covariate and with sphericity assumed, showed no significant change in cortisol levels across time points \((F(2,18) = 1.08, p = 0.36, \text{partial } \eta^2 = 0.11)\), although there was a large effect size. Nor was there a significant difference in cortisol levels between groups \((F(1,9) = 0.87, p = 0.38, \text{partial } \eta^2 = 0.09)\), although there was a medium to large effect size. There was a trend for a significant group by time interaction \((F(2,18) = 3.13, p = 0.07, \text{partial } \eta^2 = 0.26)\). Peak \(\text{VO}_2\) was correlated with cortisol levels at all time points: pre-exercise \((r = 0.92, p < 0.001)\), 5 min after exercise when cortisol peaked \((r = 0.55, p < 0.07)\), and 30 min after exercise \((r = 0.72, p < 0.01)\). The change in cortisol from pre-exercise to 30 min after exercise was inversely associated with pain tolerance 30 min after exercise \((r = -0.62, p < 0.05)\). When cortisol was normalized by BMI and correlated with pain sensitivity, the results were similar. Specifically, the change in cortisol from pre-exercise to 30 min after exercise was inversely correlated with pain tolerance after exercise \((r = -0.69, p < 0.05)\).

Dehydroepiandrosterone

Figure 2(b) shows mean DHEA levels by group across the three time points at which it was measured. A repeated-measures ANOVA using age as a covariate and with sphericity assumed showed no significant difference in DHEA levels across time \((F(2,18) = 1.31, p = 0.29, \text{partial } \eta^2 = 0.13)\), although there was a large effect size. DHEA levels also were not different between groups \((F(1,9) = 0.80, p = 0.39, \text{partial } \eta^2 = 0.08)\), although there was a medium to large effect size. There was a trend for a significant group by time interaction \((F(2,18) = 4.71, p = 0.09, \text{partial } \eta^2 = 0.24)\). Peak \(\text{VO}_2\) was not correlated with DHEA levels. However, similar to the findings for cortisol, the change in
Figure 2.
(a) Plasma cortisol levels and (b) plasma dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) levels (mean ± standard error) by group across three time points at which they were measured: pre-exercise, 5 min after exercise, and 30 min after exercise. PTSD = post-traumatic stress disorder.

DHEA from pre-exercise to 30 min after exercise was inversely correlated with pain tolerance after exercise ($r = -0.58$, $p < 0.05$). DHEA normalized by BMI also correlated with pain tolerance after exercise ($r = -0.58$, $p < 0.05$).

DISCUSSION

The results of this study demonstrate significant positive correlations between peak VO$_2$ and baseline, as well as peak, NPY levels suggesting that the capacity for NPY synthesis and release is related to cardiorespiratory fitness. Additionally, the peak change in NPY in response to CPX was significantly correlated with pain threshold after exercise. Across biological systems, NPY is known to raise the threshold for release of neurotransmitters with which it is localized by acting at inhibitory presynaptic NPY-Y$_2$ receptors [21], suggesting that this mechanism may contribute to its possible acute antinociceptive effects. Effects at upregulated NPY-Y$_1$ receptors in the spinal cord in response to acute pain are, in turn, thought to prevent progression of acute pain to chronic pain [13].

As previously noted, we expected there to be group differences in NPY baseline and peak levels achieved in response to CPX. However, there was marked individual variability in NPY levels and responses in both groups and no significant differences between groups or across time points in this small pilot sample. According to Morgan et al., genetic influences and degree of trauma exposure, but not necessarily PTSD diagnosis, are related to lower resting levels of NPY [25], but data in the field are yet lacking with regard to the relationships among NPY genetic factors, trauma exposure, PTSD diagnosis, and NPY reactivity to stress. Future work in larger samples will be required to evaluate these issues.

As demonstrated by Pernow et al., exercise at a mean 70 percent of VO$_2$ peak increases serum NPY in healthy humans [28]. As seen in Figure 1(a), most of the participants in both the chronic pain/PTSD and TC groups (among whom mean fitness was poor) showed only slight increases in plasma NPY from baseline to peak levels measured 5 min after exercise. However, taken together, these findings suggest that even patients with chronic pain/PTSD have the potential to release NPY in response to exercise, with possible effect on pain threshold. Since NPY was correlated with VO$_2$, an index of cardiorespiratory fitness, we hypothesize that progressive exercise training may further increase the capacity for NPY release in patients with chronic pain/PTSD to potentially reduce pain sensitivity and perhaps also improve PTSD symptoms.
In contrast to the findings for NPY, there were significant progressive increases in ALLO levels across all time points, suggesting that ALLO is released at lower levels of stress intensity. Consistent with work in rodents by Purdy et al. [32], ALLO levels peaked about 60 min after initiation of stressors, later than for the other neurohormones tested. Consistent with the findings for NPY, we did not find significant group differences in ALLO levels in this small pilot sample. Genetic predisposition and possibly trauma exposure may affect resting ALLO levels as well as the capacity for release of ALLO in response to stress. Thus, further longitudinal research will be needed to sort out the relationships among genetic factors, trauma exposure, PTSD, and alterations in ALLO levels and responses.

For all study participants, there was a significant positive correlation between peak VO$_2$ and the change in ALLO from baseline to 30 min after exercise. Similar to NPY, these findings suggest that the capacity to release ALLO is related to cardiorespiratory fitness. The change in ALLO between baseline and 30 min after exercise was also significantly correlated with pain tolerance after exercise. This finding is consistent with work by Sripada et al. demonstrating reductions in activity of the amygdala and insula, areas central to the experience of pain, in association with experimentally induced increases in plasma levels of allopregnanolone [44]. In addition, allopregnanolone enhanced dorsal medial prefrontal cortex activity and the connectivity between dorsal medial prefrontal cortex and amygdala in association with reductions in anxiety—thus demonstrating a significant role for allopregnanolone in emotion regulation, a construct likely related to pain tolerance.

There were large effect sizes for PTSD/chronic pain versus TC group differences in DHEA and cortisol levels generally, expected medium to large effect sizes for increases in response to exercise for both groups (Figure 2), and a trend for increased DHEA responding in the PTSD group, which could in part be related to greater trauma exposure in that group [47–48]. However, for all study participants, acute exercise-induced increases in both cortisol and DHEA were inversely correlated with pain tolerance after exercise. We suggest that possible pharmacological effects of DHEA that counter those of ALLO [15] and direct detrimental effects of cortisol on the balance between prefrontal cortical [49] and amygdala reactivity [50] may underlie this finding.

Finally, it is important to briefly discuss the significant difference found between study groups for BMI. While the pain/PTSD group had a significantly greater mean BMI than the TC group, both groups contained individuals at the high end of the BMI range. This finding is not surprising given that obesity continues to be a significant health problem in the U.S. general population [51]. The pain/PTSD group had a BMI consistent with obesity category III, and most of these participants were Veterans. Among Veterans in Veterans Health Administration care, obesity is more prevalent than for non-Veterans. Multiple morbidities such as pain, PTSD, and obesity are more likely to adversely affect overall health, functioning, and quality of life for these individuals [51–57]. In fact, additional research has focused on the mediating role of trauma exposure or PTSD and related neurobiological factors in adverse health conditions such as metabolic syndrome [56]. Given that exercise is beneficial for the treatment of such adverse health conditions, including chronic pain, the investigation of exercise as a potential multisystem treatment intervention for men and women suffering from chronic pain and PTSD is compelling.

**IMPLICATIONS**

Restoring normal NPY and ALLO levels and responses to stress could help with the management of chronic pain in a PTSD population. As reviewed by Scioli-Salter et al. [13], pain can trigger memories as well as emotional and physiological reexperiencing of a trauma by activating the amygdala via sensory projections routed through the thalamus and parabrachial nucleus. Activation of the amygdala, in turn, can affect pain sensitivity by increasing molecular substrates in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord that facilitate transmission of pain from the periphery. Thus, trauma cues or pain experiences that activate the amygdala may progressively intensify the simultaneous experience of PTSD reexperiencing symptoms and peripheral pain. Thus, neurobiological factors, such as NPY and ALLO that both diminish the reactivity of the amygdala and directly diminish pain transmission in the spinal cord, may reduce both pain sensitivity and PTSD symptoms. In fact, when hyperreactivity of the amygdala is diminished, frontal lobe function and frontal lobe inhibition of the amygdala also improve. This may further enhance pain tolerance and allow for higher-order cognitive processing, which may, in turn, promote recovery from traumatic stress.

Therefore, given our observation of the strong relationship between fitness and exercise-induced increases in NPY...
and ALLO, we hypothesize that exercise training could complement and improve the efficacy of cognitive-based treatments for both chronic pain and PTSD by increasing the capacity for release of these molecules. This is supported by recent work showing that 2 wk of moderate aerobic exercise training in already fit male rowers further increased the capacity for NPY release in response to a subsequent acute exercise challenge [29]. In addition, exercise has been shown to enhance vagal parasympathetic neurotransmission and thereby suppress proinflammatory pathways—another pathway by which chronic pain might be improved. Furthermore, progressive exercise training focused on reaching biological targets such as raising plasma levels of NPY and ALLO may help to break the vicious circle between pain and pain-avoidance behaviors (including avoidance of exercise). Exercise-related reductions in pain and perhaps re-establishment of exercise-induced reward potentially mediated by NPY [57–59] may also serve to enhance intrinsic motivation and maintenance of exercise.

CONCLUSIONS

Our pilot work in trauma-exposed individuals with and without PTSD/chronic pain shows a strong, positive relationship between postexercise pain threshold and plasma NPY and between pain tolerance and ALLO levels and responses, as well as a strong, inverse relationship between pain tolerance and exercise-induced changes in plasma cortisol and DHEA levels. Exercise-related changes in these neurohormones were also related to cardiorespiratory fitness. These pilot study findings thus suggest that progressive exercise training could potentially increase the capacity for release of NPY and ALLO in response to exercise challenge, if not in response to stress more generally, and thereby reduce pain sensitivity in a chronic pain and PTSD population. We are currently testing these hypotheses using symptom-limited CPX before and after a 12 wk individualized progressive exercise training program in trauma-exposed Veterans with and without chronic pain/PTSD. Defining the biology underlying exercise-related improvements in pain in populations with chronic pain and PTSD may help optimize implementation of exercise programs for this population, as well as guide development of other novel pharmacological and nonpharmacological therapies to reduce suffering and disability in this population.
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