Patient perspectives on virtual reality-based rehabilitation after knee surgery: Importance of level of difficulty
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Abstract—This article explored the perspectives of 25 patients regarding virtual reality (VR)-based rehabilitation following knee surgery and identified the important factors that allowed patients to immerse themselves in rehabilitation. Qualitative analysis of data collected via open-ended questionnaire and quantitative analysis of data from physical assessments and surveys were conducted. In the open-ended questionnaire, the majority of participants mentioned level of difficulty as the most common reason for selecting both the most and the least immersive exercise programs. Quantitative analysis showed that participants experienced a high level of flow (3.9 +/- 0.3 out of 5.0) and a high rate of expectation of therapeutic effect (96%) and intention of exercise adherence (96%). Further, participants with more severe pain or physical dysfunction tended to have more positive experiences (e.g., Difficulty-Skill Balance, Clear Goals, and Transformation of Time), leading to high levels of flow during VR-based rehabilitation. In conclusion, VR-based games are potentially acceptable as a motivational rehabilitation tool for patients following knee surgery. However, to best meet patients’ needs, it might be useful to equip a VR program with varied levels of difficulty, taking into account the severity of the individual’s knee injury. Additionally, severe pain or physical dysfunction might act as an indication rather than a contraindication for VR-based rehabilitation.
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INTRODUCTION

Virtual reality (VR)-based games are a highly immersive form of interactive media, incorporating clear goals and immediate feedback [1–2]. Because of such characteristics, many researchers have tried to use VR-based games as a rehabilitation tool for patients with neurological [3–6] and musculoskeletal [7] conditions and burn injuries [8–10]. Recently, the Nintendo Wii Fit Plus (NWFP) was evaluated as an adjunct to conventional physical therapy for outpatients following total knee replacement, and it showed similar effects as conventional physical therapy in improving lower-limb and balance outcomes [11].

Abbreviations: ABC = Activity-Specific Balance Confidence (scale), COP = center of pressure, FSS-2 = Flow State Scale-2, KUUEQ = Korea University User Experience Questionnaire, LEFS = Lower Extremity Functional Scale, NPRS = numerical pain rating scale, NWFP = Nintendo Wii Fit Plus, PT = physical therapist, ROM = range of motion, SLS = Single-Leg Stance, VR = virtual reality.
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However, the overriding rationale for the use of VR-based rehabilitation is its ability to provide engaging and enjoyable environments [12–13]. Thus, exploring patient perspectives regarding VR-based rehabilitation is a possible next step in informing ways to motivate patients, thereby potentially leading to greater participation and improved outcomes [14–15].

At present, only the perspectives of patients with neurological conditions regarding VR-based rehabilitation have been explored [16–21], whereas few studies have examined the perspectives of patients with musculoskeletal conditions regarding VR-based rehabilitation. Musculoskeletal conditions are the most common reason for long-term pain and physical disability, causing 54 percent of all long-term disability and 24 percent of all restricted activity days [22–23], and regular exercise participation is reported to be important for the treatment of these musculoskeletal conditions [24]. Considering such a high prevalence rate and the importance of continuous exercise, it is therefore meaningful to examine the perspectives of patients with musculoskeletal conditions regarding VR-based rehabilitation to determine whether and how VR can be adopted as a motivating rehabilitation tool.

One of the main perceptions that people report while being highly engaged in VR-based games is called “flow experience” [25]. Csikszentmihalyi defined flow as “the state in which people are so involved in an activity that nothing else seems to matter; the experience itself is so enjoyable that people will do it even at great cost, for the sheer sake of doing it” and identified nine dimensions of flow experience through numerous in-depth interviews with people in various fields [25]. Jackson and Marsh designed a survey, the Flow State Scale-2 (FSS-2), to assess these nine dimensions of flow experience quantitatively [26]. Specifically, the nine FSS-2 dimensions are (1) Difficulty-Skill Balance, (2) Merging of Action and Awareness, (3) Clear Goals, (4) Unambiguous Feedback, (5) Concentration on Task at Hand, (6) Sense of Control, (7) Loss of Self-Consciousness, (8) Transformation of Time, and (9) Autotelic Experience. The FSS-2 has been extensively validated [27–28] and is widely used to assess people’s flow experience during sports [28–29] and games [30–31].

The aim of this study was to explore the perspectives of knee surgery patients regarding VR-based rehabilitation. For this purpose, we adopted a mixed-methods approach using both qualitative and quantitative analysis [32–33]. For qualitative analysis, we explored patients’ subjective reasons for flow experience in VR-based rehabilitation. For quantitative analysis, we had two hypotheses. First, we hypothesized that there would be a negative relationship between the severity of the knee injury and the level of flow experience. Second, we hypothesized that the level of flow experience while patients engaged in VR-based rehabilitation would be lower than the published norm values of flow experience that have been established for sports, physical activity, and dance in nondisabled participants.

METHODS

Participants

This study was conducted in a joint rehabilitation center in Barunsang Hospital, Seongnam, South Korea. Researchers advertised information about the study on a message board at the joint rehabilitation center for 2 mo to recruit participants. Participants who underwent surgical operation (e.g., total knee replacement arthroplasty, surgical repair, or partial meniscectomy) on the knee joint were recruited. A total of 29 volunteers were assessed for eligibility, and 4 individuals were excluded from the study for the following reasons: private schedule (n = 2) and not meeting the inclusion criteria (n = 2); therefore, 25 participants were enrolled in the study. Participants were included in the study if more than 4 wk had passed since their operation, if they could stand independently, if they had normal cognition (Mini-Mental State Examination score >25 [34]), and if they had unimpaired comprehension and could follow instructions. Participants were excluded if they had a history of epilepsy or currently used a pacemaker.

Equipment

Hardware

Hardware included a Nintendo Wii (RVL-001, Nintendo of Korea; Seoul, South Korea), a force sensor called the Balance Board (RVL-021, Nintendo of Korea), and a 50 in. plasma display panel monitor (50PA4500-NM, LG Electronics Co; Gumi-si, South Korea). The participant’s movements on the Balance Board were reflected in an avatar that appeared on the monitor. The Nintendo Wii receives information on the individual’s center of pressure (COP) from the Balance Board (which has been reported as a valid tool for assessing standing
balance [35]) and provides it to the participant via the monitor. Thus, when the participants move on the Balance Board, they are able to see their movement via the avatar and get real-time visual and auditory feedback of their COP.

**Software**

In NWFP (RVL-006, Nintendo of Korea), VR game contents were chosen following discussion between researchers, an orthopedic surgeon, and physical therapists (PTs) in a joint rehabilitation center. The NWFP was validated for its effects on the lower limbs and balance in patients following knee surgery by Fung et al., although participant perspectives regarding NWFP were not identified [11]. Three categories of game content and eight sessions were selected because they included exercises similar to those that had been conducted in a rehabilitation center for patients following knee surgery: (1) yoga content: Palm Tree and Warrior sessions; (2) strength training content: Balance Bridge and Single-Leg Extension sessions; and (3) balance games content: Ski Slalom, Tightrope Walk, Penguin Slide, and Table Tilt sessions.

Table 1 shows detailed descriptions of each session, and Figure 1 shows examples of the participant’s movements while engaging in the VR-based rehabilitation.

For yoga and strength training, the participant exercised while guided by a trainer who appeared on the monitor (i.e., training type), whereas during balance games, the participant exercised without a trainer (i.e., game type). During yoga and strength training, the trainer guided the participant toward more exact movements with visual and auditory feedback. A red dot, indicating the participant’s COP, was displayed on the monitor, and the trainer instructed the participant to remain within the yellow circle to maintain the correct posture (Figure 1(a)–(b)). In balance games, the participant conducted agility and static and dynamic balance training in virtual environments, and a real-time score was seen on the screen while the participant completed the games (Figure 1(c)–(d)). Balance games content varied in level of difficulty (e.g., beginning and advanced), whereas yoga and strength training content had only one level. After each session, the software provided participants with their skill level and rank information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Detailed descriptions of each virtual reality-based rehabilitation session.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Content</strong></td>
<td><strong>Session</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1: Yoga (training type)</td>
<td>Palm Tree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Warrior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: Strength Training (training type)</td>
<td>Balance Bridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Single-Leg Extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: Balance Games (game type)</td>
<td>Ski Slalom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tightrope Walk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Penguin Slide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Table Tilt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Contents and sessions were selected in Nintendo Wii Fit Plus (RVL-006, Nintendo of Korea; Seoul, South Korea).
Measurements

Pretreatment assessment involved evaluation of the participant’s knee pain and physical dysfunction. Post-treatment assessment involved evaluation of the flow experience and user experience after all sessions.

Pretreatment Assessment

For assessing knee pain and physical dysfunction, we used numerical pain rating scales (NPRSs) [36], the Lower Extremity Functional Scale (LEFS) [37], the Activity-Specific Balance Confidence scale (ABC) [38], the Single-Leg Stance (SLS) [39–40], a digital inclinometer (Acumar OC-3053-02, OrthoCanada; Ottawa, Canada) [41–43], and a digital handheld dynamometer (MicroFET2, Hoggan Health Industries Inc; Salt Lake City, Utah) [44–46]. Details on the objectives for each measurement are described in Table 2.

Posttreatment Assessment

The FSS-2 was used to assess flow experience within a particular event or activity, theoretically grounded in Csikszentmihalyi’s nine dimensions [25]. Each dimension of the FSS-2 is composed of 4 items, resulting in a total of 36 items [26]. The nine dimensions of the FSS-2 and examples of items for each dimension are shown in Table 3. Participants chose appropriate answers on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = totally disagree; 5 = totally agree) for each of the 36 items. Each score of the nine dimensions and the total FSS-2 score were calculated based on the published manual [28]. The FSS-2 has been validated extensively in the sport and physical activity settings [27–28]. For this study, the FSS-2 was translated into Korean [47]. Cronbach α for all translated questions for the nine dimensions have been shown to exceed 0.61 [47].

The Korea University User Experience Questionnaire (KUUEQ) was self-designed by our research team, including a PT and an orthopedic surgeon, both with more than 10 yr clinical experience. The questionnaire contained two closed-ended questions that asked in which sessions the participant experienced flow the most and the least and two open-ended questions on the reasons the participant chose these sessions. It also contained two closed-ended questions on whether the participants thought that there would be any physical improvement if they continued this VR-based rehabilitation and whether they would like to use the NWFP for rehabilitation at home or in the hospital in the future (Figure 2).

Procedure

Two PTs with more than 5 yr clinical experience conducted pretreatment assessments to evaluate participants’ pain and physical dysfunction. After assessment, each participant was introduced to the VR game system. Each of the eight sessions lasted for about 2.5 min. Thus, the total duration of the exercise program was about 30 min, including warm-up and cool-down exercises and a 1 min rest offered between each session. The order of contents was planned using an online random number generator for every participant. A therapist stood by for assistance during all treatment time. If the participants could not play the games with their full range of motion (ROM),
Table 2.
Objectives and details of pretreatment assessment measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Measurement Objective</th>
<th>Measurement Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Numerical Pain Rating Scale</td>
<td>Pain level</td>
<td>11-point self-reported scale (0 = no pain at all to 10 = worst possible pain).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Extremity Functional Scale</td>
<td>Lower-limb functional condition</td>
<td>20 questions scored using 5-point Likert scale (0 = extremely difficult to 4 = not difficult at all); final scores were summed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity-Specific Balance Confidence Scale</td>
<td>Confidence in activity-specific balance</td>
<td>16-item scale, scored from 0 to 100 (0 = not at all confident to 100 = fully confident); final summed scores were converted to percentages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-Leg Stance Balance</td>
<td>Balance</td>
<td>Participants were asked to stand on affected leg as long as possible for 30 s, with eyes both closed and open.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip and Knee Range of Motion</td>
<td>Range of motion</td>
<td>Digital inclinometers* were applied to 5 hip and knee joints of affected leg to measure hip flexion in supine position, hip adduction and abduction in side-lying position, hip extension in prone position, and knee flexion at 90° in sitting position. Mean value for 3 trials was calculated for each motion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip and Knee Muscle Strength</td>
<td>Maximum voluntary isometric strength</td>
<td>Digital dynamometer† was applied to 6 hip and knee muscle groups of affected leg to measure hip flexion in supine position, hip adduction and abduction in side-lying position, hip extension in prone position, and knee flexion and extension at 90° in sitting position. Mean value for 3 trials was calculated for each motion.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Acumar OC-3053-02, OrthoCanada; Ottawa, Canada.
†MicroFET2, Hoggan Health Industries Inc; Salt Lake City, Utah.

Table 3.
Descriptions and examples of questions on nine dimensions of Flow State Scale-2. Dimension, description of dimension, and example of question were adapted from Jackson and Marsh [26].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Description of Dimension</th>
<th>Example of Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty-Skill Balance</td>
<td>Matching between perceived skills and challenges in a particular situation.</td>
<td>My abilities matched the high challenge of the situation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merging of Action and Awareness</td>
<td>Deep involvement in a particular situation, so that there is no awareness of self as separate from actions one is performing.</td>
<td>I made the correct movements without thinking about trying to do so.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear Goals</td>
<td>Giving the individual a strong sense of what it is he or she is going to do.</td>
<td>My goals were clearly defined.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unambiguous Feedback</td>
<td>Giving the individual clear and immediate feedback that one is succeeding in one’s goal.</td>
<td>I could tell by the way I was performing how well I was doing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration on Task at Hand</td>
<td>Total concentration on the task at hand.</td>
<td>My attention was focused entirely on what I was doing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sense of Control</td>
<td>Having a sense of exercising control without actively trying to be in control during flow.</td>
<td>I had a sense of control over what I was doing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of Self-Consciousness</td>
<td>Occurring as concern for the self disappears and the person becomes one with the activity.</td>
<td>I was not worried about what others may have been thinking of me.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformation of Time</td>
<td>Involving time disorientation or a loss of time awareness.</td>
<td>Time seemed to alter, either slowing down or speeding up.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autotelic Experience</td>
<td>An intrinsically rewarding experience involving a sense of deep enjoyment.</td>
<td>I loved the feeling of the performance and want to capture it again.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. In which session among the eight* did you experience flow the most?*
2. What is the reason you experienced flow the most in the session you selected in question 1?†
3. In which session among the eight* did you experience flow the least?†
4. What is the reason you experienced flow the least in the session you selected in question 3?‡
5. Do you think that there will be any physical improvement if you do these exercise sessions? Yes or No* 
6. From what type of program do you expect physical improvement? Both types, only training type, only game type †
7. Would you like to use the NWFP in future treatments for rehabilitation at home or in hospital? Yes or No†

Figure 2.
Contents of Korea University User Experience Questionnaire (KUUEQ). Note: KUUEQ was self-designed by research team of current study. *Eight sessions were Palm Tree, Warrior, Balance Bridge, Single-Leg Extension, Ski Slalom, Tightrope Walk, Penguin Slide, and Table Tilt from Nintendo Wii Fit Plus (NWFP) (RVL-006, Nintendo of Korea; Seoul, South Korea). †Closed-ended question. ‡Open-ended question.

Data Analysis
A content analysis approach was used to analyze the responses of the open-ended questions of the KUUEQ [48]. All audio of recorded responses was fully transcribed word-for-word to strengthen the trustworthiness of the qualitative data by avoiding the selective recording of responses [49]. To increase the credibility of the study, two researchers, who were certified PTs, independently coded full transcriptions by sentence and categorized the codes into themes based on key words that were commonly mentioned throughout the responses [50]. Finally, the resulting themes and sorted codes by theme were presented and discussed with all research team members. We then revised the themes and sorted the codes based on the results of the research team’s discussion. Upon completing the analysis of the responses, we presented the results to the participants to verify whether the results corresponded to their intentions [51].

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 12.0 (IBM; Armonk, New York). The Spearman rank-order correlation coefficient was used to examine the relationship between the total FSS-2 score and NPRS scores, LEFS scores, ABC scores, SLS (with eyes closed and eyes open) times, hip and knee angles, hip and knee muscle strength, and age (the FSS-2 variables were not normally distributed). Additionally, the relationship between each of the nine dimensions of the FSS-2 and the severity of knee pain, physical dysfunction, and age was also examined. We considered correlation coefficients of $r \geq 0.04$ as fairly positive correlation and $r \leq -0.04$ as fairly negative correlation. We use a one-sample t-test to compare the FSS-2 mean scores for the sum and for each of the nine dimensions of the current study with the published norm values that have been established for sports, physical activity, and dance for nondisabled participants [28]. Significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS
All 25 participants completed the experiment without any dropout. Demographic characteristics and medical diagnoses of participants are shown in Table 4. Overall measurement results of participants’ pain and physical dysfunction are summarized in Table 5.

Experience of Flow
Level of difficulty was the most common reason for selecting the session in which participants experienced flow the most and least. The session in which participants experienced flow the most was Table Tilt ($n = 11$), followed by Tightrope Walk ($n = 4$), Balance Bridge ($n = 3$), Warrior ($n = 3$), Ski Slalom ($n = 2$), Single-Leg Extension ($n = 1$),...
and Penguin Slide (n = 1). Six themes emerged as reasons for participants choosing these sessions: correct level of difficulty (n = 7), clear goals (n = 6), concentration (n = 5), enjoyment (n = 5), beneficial effects of exercise (n = 3), and immediate feedback (n = 1).

The session selected as least immersive was Palm Tree (n = 7), followed by Single-Leg Extension (n = 4), Ski Slalom (n = 4), Tightrope Walk (n = 4), Balance Bridge (n = 3), Penguin Slide (n = 1), Warrior (n = 1), and Table Tilt (n = 1). Seven themes emerged as reasons for participants choosing these sessions: inadequate level of difficulty (n = 16), pain (n = 3), lack of concentration (n = 2), lack of enjoyment (n = 2), lack of a sense of unity with virtual characters (n = 2), decreased physical function (n = 1), and unclear goals (n = 1). All of the themes that emerged as reasons for participants experiencing flow the most and least are summarized in Table 6 with representative responses.

### Correlation between Flow State Scale-2 Scores and Physical Dysfunction

There was no significant correlation between total FSS-2 scores and knee pain severity, physical dysfunction, or age. However, some individual dimensions showed significant correlation with these characteristics. For example, the older the participants, the more they experienced an altered sense of time (Figure 3(a)), and sharper pain caused participants to recognize clearer goals (Figure 3(b)). The more lower-limb function and balance declined, the more participants experienced an altered sense of time (Figure 3(c)–(d)). The more limited participants’ hip-flexion angle, the more they felt that exercise difficulty matched their skill level (Figure 3(e)), and weaker hip adduction muscle strength caused participants to recognize clearer goals (Figure 3(f)). Meanwhile, ABC scores showed a low negative correlation with the Transformation of Time dimension score, but this correlation was not significant (p = 0.06).

### Comparison with Norm Values of Flow State Scale-2 Scores

The total FSS-2 score was significantly higher than the norm value for dance for nondisabled participants (p < 0.001). The comparison of the mean score for six of the nine FSS-2 dimensions to norm values is as follows: for Merging of Action and Awareness, the mean score was significantly higher than the norm value for dance (p < 0.05); for Clear Goals, the mean score was significantly higher than the norm value for dance (p < 0.05); for Concentration on the Task at Hand, the mean score was significantly higher than the norm value for sports (p < 0.001), exercise activity (p < 0.001), and dance (p < 0.05); for Loss

---

**Table 4.**
Demographic characteristics and medical diagnoses of participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographic</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, yr</td>
<td>36.4 ± 14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>11 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>14 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index, kg/m²</td>
<td>22.87 ± 2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of Outpatient Rehabilitation, d</td>
<td>28.0 ± 32.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medical Diagnosis</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior Cruciate Ligament Rupture</td>
<td>15 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior Cruciate Ligament Rupture</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial Meniscus Tear</td>
<td>5 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral Meniscus Tear</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patellar Dislocation</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SD = standard deviation.**

**Table 5.**
Pain and physical function measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Numerical Pain Rating Scale*</td>
<td>3.04 ± 1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Extremity Functional Scale†</td>
<td>53.84 ± 17.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity-Specific Balance Confidence Scale‡</td>
<td>85.08 ± 13.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-Leg Stance (s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With Eyes Open</td>
<td>26.41 ± 8.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With Eyes Closed</td>
<td>8.14 ± 7.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Range of Motion (°)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip Flexion</td>
<td>110.94 ± 11.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip Adduction</td>
<td>26.64 ± 9.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip Abduction</td>
<td>55.04 ± 16.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip Extension</td>
<td>30.08 ± 10.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee Flexion</td>
<td>107.54 ± 20.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip Flexion</td>
<td>17.15 ± 2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip Extension</td>
<td>17.21 ± 3.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip Adduction</td>
<td>15.07 ± 3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip Abduction</td>
<td>16.41 ± 3.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee Flexion</td>
<td>14.08 ± 2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee Extension</td>
<td>14.02 ± 2.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*0 = no pain at all to 10 = worst possible pain.
†0 = lowest function to 80 = highest function.
‡0 = not at all confident in activity-specific balance to 100 = fully confident.
SD = standard deviation.
of Self-Consciousness, the mean score was significantly higher than the norm value for dance ($p < 0.001$); for Transformation of Time, the mean score was significantly higher than the norm value for dance ($p < 0.05$); and for Autotelic Experience, the mean score was significantly higher than the norm value for sports ($p < 0.001$), exercise activity ($p < 0.05$), and dance ($p < 0.001$) (Figure 4).

**Expectancy of Physical Improvement and Intention of Exercise Adherence**

In response to the question: “Do you think there will be any physical improvement with these exercises?” 24 of the 25 participants (96%) responded “yes.” In response to the question: “From what type of program do you expect physical improvement?”, 18 participants (75%) reported “both in training and game type,” 6 participants (25%) reported “only in training type,” and no participants reported “only in game type.” The majority (96%) of participants said that they would like to use the NWFP in future rehabilitation treatment. One participant who responded “no” told the researcher verbally that it was because she did not like sports.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we explored the perspectives of knee surgery patients regarding VR-based rehabilitation and found that level of difficulty was the key determinant of immersion. This finding highlights the need for the use of a VR program with varied levels of difficulty and that takes into account the severity of the knee injury to best meet patient perspectives. In addition, levels of pain and physical dysfunction did not affect the flow experience, thus verifying the applicability of VR-based rehabilitation for patients following knee surgery.
Figure 3.
(a)–(f) Relationships between participants’ scores on Flow State Scale-2 (FSS-2) and physical dysfunction. Note: In each graph, x-axis represents participant’s score on each dimension of FSS-2, and y-axis represents participant’s age and degree of pain and physical dysfunction. Lines in scatter plots indicate direction and degree of correlation. Correlation coefficient $r \geq 0.04$ means fairly positive correlation. Correlation coefficient $r \leq -0.04$ means fairly negative correlation. *Significance was set at $p < 0.05$. E/C = eyes closed, LEFS = Lower Extremity Functional Scale, NPRS = numerical pain rating scale, ROM = range of motion, SLS = Single-Leg Stance.
Figure 4. Comparison of participants’ mean scores of Flow State Scale-2 with norm values. Note: Norm values for sports (n = 700), exercise activity (n = 200), and dance (n = 80) in nondisabled subjects were adapted from Jackson and Marsh [26]. Values are mean and standard deviation. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.001. VR = virtual reality.

The qualitative analysis suggests that the balance between task difficulty and personal skill level is a necessary prerequisite for immersion: participants commonly mentioned “level of difficulty” as the reason for being the most and least immersed. “Good performance,” “feeling of doing well,” and “gradually increased difficulty” were reasons specifically stated that allowed participants to feel immersed the most. As a reason for being immersed the least, both “too high level of difficulty” and “too low level of difficulty” were stated. This finding is consistent with previous studies in older adults and patients with stroke. For example, Belchior et al. suggested that older adults’ immersion during VR-based games could be adjusted to their skill levels [52]. Hale et al. reported that patients with stroke seemed to enjoy VR-based rehabilitation when they were equipped with suitable technology [21].

In contrast to our first hypothesis, quantitative analysis revealed that the flow experience was not influenced by the severity of the knee injury. Rather, in some dimensions of the FSS-2, participants with more severe pain or physical dysfunction tended to experience more positive feelings. These positive feelings might be due to the psychological rewards inherent in VR-based rehabilitation. For example, the NWFP could provide unrealistic adventures to participants, such as walking on a tight rope or sliding on an iceberg, without the dangers present in the
real world. This sense of psychological reward might have been greater in participants with markedly impaired function because of the deeper frustration and discouragement that they might experience in the real world. This finding also implies that the difficulty level of the NWFP was more appropriate for participants with severe physical dysfunction. In other words, the level of difficulty of the NWFP might be relatively low for less impaired participants. This assumption is supported by a study by Graves et al., who reported a light-to-moderate general Rating of Perceived Exertion for the NWFP [53].

In contrast to our second hypothesis, the level of flow experience reported by patients during VR-based rehabilitation was higher than the published norm values for sports, physical activity, and dance in nondisabled participants. In particular, participants marked a significantly higher level of Concentration on the Task at Hand and Autotelic Experience than all three norm values. Autotelic Experience is an intrinsically rewarding experience involving a sense of deep enjoyment [26]. Hence, we could cautiously interpret this finding as suggesting that participants potentially found the NWFP to be more gratifying and would be more willing to participate in it than nondisabled participants are to take part in sports, exercise, or dance.

In addition, we found that the NWFP was an acceptable rehabilitation tool for participants: the mean value for participants’ flow experience while using the NWFP was high (3.9 out of 5.0); the majority (96%) said that there would be physical improvement after using the NWFP and that they would like to continue to use the NWFP for rehabilitation. In addition, among the majority (96%) showing a high expectation of therapeutic effect, 76 percent of participants responded that there would be physical improvement “both in training and game type” and 24 percent of participants responded “only in training type,” whereas no participants responded “only in game type.” In contrast, 72 percent of participants chose balance games as the most immersive exercise. Therefore, both training and game types seem to be necessary, because of participants’ different expectations from each type: the training type is more for therapeutic effects, whereas the game type is more for enjoyment or immersion.

### STUDY LIMITATIONS

The number of participants was limited. Additional participants, especially in a quantitative study, may have led to a more homogeneous distribution. To supplement this limitation, however, a mixed-methods approach using both qualitative and quantitative methods was adopted in this study, which supports the contention that VR-based rehabilitation is feasible and acceptable for patients following knee surgery. The norm values of the FSS-2 compared with the FSS-2 scores of this study were not for patients following knee surgery. Even with this limitation, we could estimate the level of flow experience more clearly by such comparisons.

### CONCLUSIONS

VR-based games are potentially acceptable as a motivational rehabilitation tool for patients after knee surgery, showing a high level of flow experience and high rate of expectation of therapeutic effect and intention of exercise adherence. However, to engage knee surgery patients fully, it might be necessary to use a VR program with varied levels of difficulty, taking into account the participants’ pain severity and physical dysfunction. In addition, severe pain or physical dysfunction might act as an indication rather than a contraindication for rehabilitation using VR.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

**Author Contributions:**

*Study concept and design:* M. Lee, B. Yoon, D. Suh.

*Acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data:* M. Lee, D. Suh.

*Drafting of manuscript:* M. Lee, J. Son.

*Critical revision of manuscript for important intellectual content:* J. Son, J. Kim.

*Qualitative analysis:* M. Lee, B. Yoon, D. Suh.

*Quantitative analysis:* M. Lee, J. Kim, S. Eun.

*Obtained funding:* B. Yoon, D. Suh.

*Administrative, technical, or material support:* B. Yoon, D. Suh, S. Eun.

*Study supervision:* B. Yoon.

**Financial Disclosures:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

**Funding/Support:** This material was based on work supported by the Korean National Rehabilitation Center, South Korea.

**Additional Contributions:** We thank the participants who willingly gave their time and ideas during the assessment and treatment.
**Institutional Review:** This study was approved by Institutional Review Board of Korea University (KU-IRB-13–22-A-2). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants following the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Participant Follow-Up:** The authors plan to inform participants of the publication of this study.

**REFERENCES**


41. Kolber MJ, Vega F, Widmayer K, Cheng M-S. The reliability and minimal detectable change of shoulder mobility
http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/09593985.2010.481011

http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0363546512454530


http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/16501970510044043


http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1049732305276687


http://dx.doi.org/10.5014/ajot.45.3.214

http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1098214005276687

http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01924788.2012.702307


Submitted for publication July 15, 2014. Accepted in revised form July 15, 2015.

This article and any supplementary material should be cited as follows:
http://dx.doi.org/10.1682/JRRD.2014.07.0164