Plantar pressure displacement after anesthetic motor block and tibial nerve neurotomy in spastic equinovarus foot
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Abstract—The aim of this study was to analyze the displacements of center of pressure (COP) using an in-shoe recording system (F-Scan) before and after motor nerve block and neurotomy of the tibial nerve in spastic equinovarus foot. Thirty-nine patients (age 45 +/- 15 yr) underwent a motor nerve block; 16 (age 38 +/- 15.2 yr) had tibial neurotomy, combined with tendinous surgery (n = 9). The displacement of the COP (anteroposterior [AP], lateral deviation [LD], posterior margin [PM]) was compared between paretic and nonparetic limbs before and after block and surgery. At baseline, the nonparetic limb had a higher AP (17.3 vs 12.3 cm, \(p < 0.001\)) and LD (4.0 vs 3.3 cm, \(p = 0.001\)) and a smaller PM (2.9 vs 4.7 cm, \(p = 0.001\)). For the paretic limb, a significant increase of AP was observed after block (13.5 vs 12.3 cm, \(p = 0.02\)) and after surgery (13.7 vs 12.3 cm, \(p = 0.03\)). A significant decrease of PM was observed after surgery (4.5 vs 3.3 cm, \(p < 0.001\)) with no more difference between two limbs (2.8 vs 3.3 cm, \(p = 0.44\)). This study shows that the F-Scan system can be used to quantify impairments and be useful to evaluate the effects of treatment for spastic foot. It suggests that changes in AP displacement following block may predict the effects of neurotomy.

INTRODUCTION

Spastic equinovarus foot is a common deformity that occurs in spastic hemiparesis. The incidence varies between 18 percent [1] and 56 percent [2] 1 yr after stroke. The first-line treatments are physical modalities and injections of botulinum toxin [3]. If this is unsuccessful, surgery involving selective neurotomy of the motor branches of the tibial nerve can be carried out [4–6], with a good long-lasting effect. Most investigators carry out motor nerve blocks prior to surgery [5,7]. Although the importance of preoperative criteria and the evaluation of the clinical and functional effects of treatment are widely accepted [8–9], simple, quantitative measures, which can be used in the real-world setting, have been little evaluated. The few existing studies are based on case series. Bollens et al. carried out a systematic review of
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the literature and suggested that quantitative, validated analysis tools based on the principles of the International Classification of Functioning and Disability should be developed to evaluate the effect of treatments for spastic equinovarus foot, particularly surgical interventions, on function [10].

Baropodometry is a quite easy-to-use method to evaluate changes in plantar pressure during gait using an in-shoe system containing pressure sensors (F-Scan system, Tekscan Inc; South Boston, Massachusetts). This system has been validated for determining pressure distribution under constant conditions [11–12]. This system has provided an objective method for obtaining dynamic recordings of the foot center of pressure (COP) or pressure distribution during stance in nondisabled subjects [13], but also in diabetic patients [14]. Two studies have used this system in hemiparetic subjects [15–16]. Valentini et al. studied three parameters of the displacements of the COP: anteroposterior (AP) displacement, lateral deviation (LD), and posterior margin (PM) of foot contact [17]. In the paretic limb, AP displacement of the COP is shortened, the PM of foot contact is increased, and the LD is reduced [16–17]. These parameters are reliable over time (AP displacement and PM of foot contact), and their coefficient of variability is low (AP for the paretic side and to a lesser degree PM and LD). Clinically, lengthening of the AP displacement of the COP is correlated with an improvement in foot progression. A reduction in the LD could be correlated with less stability or use of assistive device, and a reduction in the PM is correlated with improved heel strike at the beginning of stance phase [16]. We also suggest that AP displacement and the PM could be useful parameters to evaluate the effectiveness of treatments for spastic equinovarus, particularly surgical interventions [17].

The aim of this pilot study was to analyze changes in pressure measurements following motor nerve block and selective tibial neurotomy in adults with spastic equinovarus foot.

METHODS

This study was carried out in a Regional Center for Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation specializing in neurology. The patients provided informed consent for their participation in the evaluations, the motor nerve block, and surgical intervention. The study was approved by the local ethics committee.

Subjects

The inclusion criteria were (1) spasticity causing equinovarus foot, with or without varus, on clinical examination; (2) independent gait for at least 70 m, with or without an assistive device; (3) age between 18 and 75 yr; and (4) failure of first-line treatments such as botulinum toxin injection and physical treatments.

The exclusion criteria included (1) previous surgery for lower-limb spasticity, (2) contraindications to the anesthetic used for the nerve block or general anesthetic for neurotomy, and (3) use of a rigid ankle-foot orthosis or orthopedic shoe that would prevent the pressure analysis in commercially available shoes.

Method

Experimental Setup and Protocol

Three baropodometric evaluations were carried out: at baseline, post-block (following motor block of the gastrocnemius nerve) and post-surgery (after selective tibial nerve neurotomy, and additional surgery if necessary).

Data were collected using the F-Scan in-shoe system. It allows people to walk in normal shoes, using an insole measuring device to detect changes in COP displacements or plantar pressures. The sensors are 0.15 mm thick and contain 960 resistant sensels (Figure 1). Several sizes are available so that they can be used in commercially available shoes. Each sensor is connected to an interface box positioned on the ankle, which in turn is connected to a recording device fixed on the patient’s belt (Figure 1). The recording frequency is 50 Hz, and the data are recorded and processed in the system’s software (F-Scan Mobile Research 5.72 software). Foot measurements were taken, and the F-Scan insoles were fitted into standardized shoes. The shoes were all the same model and brand (So Good, model Basic, Gémo Shoes; Frouard, France) for all participants, with appropriate sizing for individuals. The choice was based on the following criteria: low-cost, easy to wear, soft, lightweight, flat, and without an integrated insole. The system was then calibrated for each subject according to manufacturer’s guidelines. The gait testing was carried out over a distance of 70 m, inside, on flat ground, at the participant’s preferred walking speed. The data analysis was carried out by averaging all the steps once the first and last steps were deleted. All subjects walked at their comfortable walking speed. They did not wear any ankle or foot orthoses during testing, but assistive devices could be used if necessary. We recorded three parameters previously used
in hemiparetics [17]: (1) AP displacement of the COP measured from the most anterior to the most posterior points, (2) LD of the COP measured from the two most lateral points, and (3) PM of foot contact measured from the most posterior point of heel contact to the most posterior point of the COP trajectory (Figure 2). Each parameter is expressed in centimeters for each foot for each of the three evaluations: at baseline, post-block, and post-surgery.

**Motor Nerve Block Procedure**

The anesthetic block was carried out by an anesthesiologist according to the recommendations of the French Society of Anesthesia and Intensive Care [18]. The targets were the nerves of the medial and lateral gastrocnemii. Ultrasound (SonoSite MTurbo or S Nerve, SonoSite Fujifilm; Bothell, Washington) and neurostimulation (HNS 12, B Braun; Melsungen, Germany) were used to locate the nerves. The local anesthetic used was 2 percent mepivacaine hydrochloride with a volume of 1 to 2 mL per target.

**Surgical Technique**

The selective tibial neurotomies were carried out by a single surgeon, experienced in the technique, under general anesthesia. Following dissection of the tibial nerve trunk and its branches under surgical microscope, the different motor collaterals of the soleus and gastrocnemii nerves and the posterior tibial nerve were identified. All the collaterals were completely sectioned. Depending on the assessment of the patient’s deformities during the multidisciplinary consultation, additional surgery could be performed, for example, neurotomy of the posterior tibial nerve, tenoplasty to lengthen the Achilles tendon, or percutaneous tenotomy of the toe flexors or the hallux (Table 1).

**Statistical Analysis**

Descriptive statistics were computed as means, standard deviations, minimum, and maximum for each parameter. Means were compared using Fisher exact test for the qualitative variables and a Wilcoxon signed rank
test or Mann-Whitney test for quantitative variables. A threshold of $p < 0.05$ was considered significant. The statistical analysis was carried out using SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc; Cary, North Carolina) software.

**RESULTS**

**Subjects**

The demographic characteristics of the subjects are summarized in Table 2. Thirty-nine patients underwent assessment at baseline and after motor nerve block. Six patients were excluded because of lack of effect of the motor nerve block on clinical tests or lack of attainment of the functional aim expected following surgery. Surgical indications were validated in 33 patients, 24 underwent surgery, and 16 participated in all three evaluations at baseline, post-block, and post-surgery (Figure 3). The demographic characteristics and the surgical interventions carried out for these 16 patients are shown in Table 1.

**Baropodometric Results**

At baseline, the nonparetic limb had a higher AP displacement (17.3 vs 12.3 cm, $p < 0.001$), a higher LD (4.0 vs 3.3 cm, $p = 0.001$) and a smaller PM (2.9 vs 4.7 cm, $p = 0.001$) compared with the paretic limb (Figure 4).

In the nonparetic limb, there were no statistically significant differences between the three evaluations for any of the parameters evaluated (Figure 5). The longitudinal analysis showed that all three parameters from the nonparetic limb had not statistically changed between the different assessments.

Concerning the paretic limb, a significant increase of length of AP was observed after the motor nerve block.

---

**Table 1.**

Demographic characteristics at baseline ($N = 39$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, yr (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>45 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex ($n$)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side of Paresis ($n$)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etiology ($n$)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhagic</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traumatic Brain Injury</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple Sclerosis</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strümpell Lorrain</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Since Lesion at Baseline, mo (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>107.6 ± 98.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistive Devices ($n$)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthopedic Shoes</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gait Aid (stick)</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle Foot Orthosis</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Ashworth Modified Scale (out of 4) on Triceps Surae</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Ankle Passive Range of Motion (°)</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Ankle Extensors Strength (Medical Research Council scale out of 5)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle Clonus ($n$)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD = standard deviation.
DISCUSSION

The principal aim of this pilot study was to analyze changes in pressure measurements following motor nerve block and selective tibial neurotomy in adults with spastic equinovarus foot. We used the F-Scan system to quantify these changes. Previous studies have shown significant changes in the trajectory of the COP between the nonparetic and paretic limbs recorded with the F-Scan system [16–17], but this tool had not yet been used to evaluate treatments.

In this study, the subjects did not wear their own shoes or fittings (orthopedic shoes, ankle foot orthoses) for the assessment. This could be a bias because the patients were not accustomed to the shoes used for the assessment. Nevertheless, we have chosen a unique model of shoes to reduce possible variability between participant shoe type and, moreover, to make sure that all measures (baseline, post-block, and post-surgery) were done with the same shoes for each patient.

Comparison of baropodometric parameters before and after a motor nerve block of the gastrocnemius nerves showed a significant lengthening of the AP trajectory of the COP following the block, demonstrating better foot progression on the paretic side. Following surgery, the mean length of the AP trajectory on the paretic side was also significantly lengthened and the mean length of the MP was significantly reduced, demonstrating an improvement of heel strike at the beginning of stance phase [16] (Figure 6). Moreover, prior to surgery there was a significant difference in the length of the PM between the nonparetic and paretic sides, which was no longer the case following surgery.

Nevertheless, we must underline as a limit the heterogeneity of the population and the heterogeneity of surgery. Concerning the population, several etiologies were reported as a cause of spastic equinovarus foot. Concerning surgery, all patients underwent a tibial nerve neurotomy (n = 16), with some of them (n = 9) having additional surgeries. Therefore, this additional surgery could have potential confounding effects. For instance, the reduction of PM can also be explained by tenoplasty to lengthen the Achilles tendon. Further studies in larger samples should be carried out comparing results after neurotomy alone and neurotomy with additional surgeries. Nevertheless, this improvement in weight-bearing symmetry between the nonparetic and paretic sides confirms that the surgery improved heel strike.

Therefore, the F-scan system can be used to evaluate changes in pressure following motor nerve block and surgery and to measure the effectiveness of these two treatments using objective, quantified parameters (these parameters have previously been found to be repeatable and to have low variability in a noninterventional study [17]). More specifically, the AP displacement of the trajectory of the COP, which has been shown to have good

Table 2.

Demographic characteristics postsurgery (N = 16).

For the 39 patients assessed compared with baseline (13.5 vs 12.3 cm, \( p = 0.02 \)) and after surgery for the 16 patients assessed (13.7 vs 12.3 cm, \( p = 0.03 \)) (Figure 5). No significant change was observed between assessments at baseline and after block for PM. However, a significant decrease of this parameter was observed after surgery (4.5 vs 3.3 cm, \( p < 0.001 \)) (Figure 5), with no significant difference between nonparetic and paretic limb (2.8 vs 3.3 cm, \( p = 0.44 \)) (Figure 4). Finally, the length of LD was not significantly different according to the three assessments.
repeatability [17], was significantly improved following motor nerve block and selective neurotomy in the present study. These quantified parameters could be compared or used in addition to other quantitative measures of gait recovery used in hemiparesis like walking speed, percentage of time spent in double and single limb support, stance, and swing phases [19–21]. Motor nerve block of the tibial nerve branches is predictive of the results of selective tibial neurotomy [22–23]. However, the baropodometric trajectory of the COP recorded in the present study following

---

**Figure 3.** Study flowchart.
The A-P displacement of the COP is therefore useful to quantify improvements in foot progression following motor nerve block and selective tibial neurotomy. This parameter could be a predictive marker for the effect of selective tibial neurotomy on foot progression, depending on the baropodometric results following motor nerve block. Correlation studies in larger samples should be carried out to confirm this.

Other studies of neurotomy have reported similar results to the present study; however, most were case studies or evaluated other parameters, such as a recent randomized controlled study that compared the degree of ankle viscoelasticity, gait, and quality of life following botulinum toxin injection and tibial neurotomy [24]. Moreover, in the present study, the patients underwent a complete section of the motor fibers of the soleus and gastrocnemius nerve branches, although partial sectioning of the fibers and sparing of the gastrocnemius nerve is usually recommended as a first-line treatment [5]. Thus, the surgical technique used in this study is an original technique that should be compared with the other techniques currently described in the literature.

CONCLUSIONS

The in-sole baropodometric system revealed differences in the trajectory of the COP between the nonparetic and paretic limbs. Motor nerve block of the gastrocnemius nerves and the surgical technique improved the
length of the COP trajectory on the paretic side. These preliminary results of this pilot study corroborate current results in the literature on the use of this system for the dynamic evaluation of weight bearing during gait in hemiparetic subjects. To our knowledge, this is the first study to use the F-scan in-sole system to evaluate the effects of motor nerve block and neurotomy on objective quantified parameters in the real-world setting. The results showed that the length of the AP displacement of the COP evaluated the effectiveness of the motor nerve block and the selective tibial neurotomy, and the length of the posterior margin of the COP constituted an objective evaluation of the effectiveness of the selective tibial neurotomy. These preliminary results also suggest that changes in AP displacement of the COP following motor block may predict the effects of neurotomy. Finally, our results should encourage the scientific community to do efficacy studies on this topic.
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