Physical activity, ambulation, and comorbidities in people with diabetes and lower-limb amputation
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Abstract—We characterized physical activity (PA) and its relation to physical function and number of comorbidities in people with diabetes and transtibial amputation (AMP), people with diabetes without AMP, and nondisabled adults without diabetes or AMP. Twenty-two individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) and transtibial amputation (DM+AMP), 11 people with DM, and 13 nondisabled participants were recruited for this cross-sectional cohort study. Measures included PA volume and intensity, a Timed Up and Go test, a 2-min walk test, and number of comorbidities. The nondisabled group performed greater amounts of PA than the DM group, who performed greater amounts of PA than the DM+AMP group. PA was related to physical function in the DM group and in the DM+AMP group, whereas no such relationship existed in the nondisabled group. PA was not related to number of comorbidities in any group. These findings suggest the ability to walk may affect overall performance of PA. Alternately, PA may alleviate walking problems. This possibility is of interest because issues with walking may be modifiable by improved levels and intensity of PA. PA's lack of relation to number of comorbidities suggests that factors beyond multiple morbidities account for group differences in PA.
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INTRODUCTION

Walking performance is strongly related to quality of life, which is diminished by lower-limb amputation (LLA) [1–2]. Physical activity (PA) is a key indicator of walking performance that may influence quality of life in patients with LLA [3–4]. Objective clinical measures of walking performance using the 6-min walk test (6MWT), 2-min walk test (2MWT), and Timed Up and Go (TUG) are correlated with PA [3–4]. These relationships provide a negative view of physical function for patients with LLA because PA is severely limited by LLA. Severe limitations in performance of PA are not only linked to LLA but also comorbidities commonly found in conjunction with dysvascular amputation. In particular, type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) is present in most people (73%) who

Abbreviations: 2MWT = 2-min walk test, 6MWT = 6-min walk test, AMP = transtibial amputation, DM = type 2 diabetes mellitus, HbA1c = glycated hemoglobin, LLA = lower-limb amputation, NA = nondisabled adult, PA = physical activity, SD = standard deviation, TUG = Timed Up and Go.
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undergo dysvascular LLA [5]. DM is related to low levels of PA and may compound or synergistically interact with dysvascular amputation to diminish PA levels [6–10]. This interaction is important, as PA is critical for effective management of chronic disease. In patients with DM, performance of regular PA is associated with lower glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) measurements [11]. Similarly, in people with LLA, lower levels of self-reported PA restriction are correlated with poorer quality of life in physical, psychological, and social domains [12].

Previous studies focused on PA performance after LLA typically included multiple levels of amputation and/or causes of amputation [3–4,13]. Only one investigation specifically focused on PA performance in patients with transtibial dysvascular amputation [8]. These patients engaged in walking and dynamic activities for 3.8 ± 2.4 percent and 4.3 ± 2.6 percent (mean ± standard deviation [SD]) of 24 h periods, respectively. These values stand in contrast to values collected from nondisabled individuals who engaged in walking and dynamic activities for 9.7 ± 4.2 percent and 11.4 ± 5.4 percent (mean ± SD) of 24 h periods, respectively [8]. No relationships were found between PA and functional independence or performance, nor were any relationships reported between PA and comorbidities [8]. These findings collected from a distinct participant population suggest that PA studies more specifically focused by level of amputation, cause of amputation, and specific comorbidities may be required to reveal clinically important relationships and differences.

The purpose of this study was to characterize PA in people with DM and transtibial amputation (AMP), people with DM but without AMP, and nondisabled adults (NAs) without DM or AMP. We also examined the relationship among PA, performance-based measures of physical function, and number of comorbidities. We hypothesized that people with DM and LLA would be less active than people with DM and without LLA, and that both of these groups would be less active than NAs. We also hypothesized that PA would be related to both physical function and comorbidities in all groups.

METHODS

Study Design
This investigation was a cross-sectional study comparing PA across three groups: people with DM, people with DM+AMP, and NAs. The relationship of PA to functional performance and comorbidities was also investigated within each group.

Participants
Eleven people with DM, 22 people with DM+AMP, and 13 NAs were recruited from clinics and the community. All participants were 50–85 yr of age, and none displayed an unstable heart condition, uncontrolled extreme hypertension, acute systemic infection, or pregnancy. Participants with DM and NAs displayed household ambulation or better, and all of the DM group displayed clinically diagnosed DM. All of the DM+AMP group had clinically diagnosed DM, unilateral dysvascular AMP <6 mo prior to screening, household ambulation or better using a prosthesis, participation in physical rehabilitation at time of testing, and no ankle-level or more proximal amputation on the contralateral limb. For ambulation in the community, 10 DM+AMP participants reported using a cane, 7 reported using a walker or two crutches/canes, 2 reported using a wheelchair, and 3 reported using no assistive device. No participants with DM without AMP or NAs used an assistive device during ambulation. Two participants with DM+AMP had amputation of the big toe, and one had a metatarsal amputation on the contralateral limb. No participants with DM without AMP or NAs had amputations.

Data Collection

Physical Activity
Each participant was issued an accelerometry-based PA monitor (ActiGraph GT3X or wGT3X-BT, ActiGraph; Pensacola, Florida) collecting data at 30 Hz. ActiGraphs were securely fastened to each participant around the waist at the midaxillary line using an elastic belt and worn for 10 consecutive days [14–15]. Offline analysis was performed using ActiLife software (ActiGraph). PA level was quantified as steps/d. Intensity of activity was classified using the Freedson criteria to include percentage of time spent in sedentary, light, moderate, vigorous, and very vigorous activity [16]. Patients were allowed to use assistive devices (e.g., cane, walker) during PA data collection.

Physical Function
Walking performance and mobility were assessed in a single session, at the participant’s home or a clinical research space, using the 2MWT and TUG. These tests
are reliable across time and tester and are valid indicators of functional performance and mobility for patients with AMP and NAs [17–20]. Similar to collection of PA data, patients were allowed to use assistive devices during collection of physical function data. The TUG was performed using a standard height (46 cm) chair and a stopwatch to measure the time required by participants to stand from a seated position, walk forward 3 m, turn, walk back to the chair, and return to the seated position as quickly as possible while remaining safe [21]. The 2MWT courses varied among participants (6–30 m) because assessment sessions performed in participants’ homes sometimes limited the walking course that could be used. Surfaces of the walking courses varied from firm (e.g., granite) to soft (e.g., carpet), with all courses being flat, nonslippery, well lit, and clear of obstacles. Total distance walked for 2 consecutive min was assessed using a stopwatch. Participants were instructed to “cover the greatest distance possible in 2 min while remaining safe.” [22]

Comorbidities

Individual comorbidities were quantified using the Functional Comorbidity Index [23]. This instrument allows up to 18 discrete diagnoses to be noted. This numeric score has been shown to be negatively correlated with functional performance in other populations with lower-limb dysfunction [23].

Statistical Analyses

All variables are represented using means and SD for continuous variables and frequencies for categorical variables. One-way analysis of variance (α = 0.05) was used to characterize differences between DM, DM+AMP, and NA groups for PA, intensity of PA, TUG, 2MWT, and comorbidities. Pearson $r$ correlation coefficients were used to assess the relationship between PA and parametric variables including functional performance and mobility. Spearman rho correlation coefficients were used to assess the relationship between PA and the nonparametric variable comorbidities. Results in figures are presented as $r$ or $\rho$ (95% confidence interval). SPSS version 22 (IBM; Armonk, New York) was used for all statistical analyses.

RESULTS

Participant Characteristics

Participant characteristics are noted in the Table. Participants in all groups were of similar age and height. No significant difference in mass or body mass index existed between the DM+AMP group and the DM group. The DM+AMP group had significantly greater mass and body mass index when compared to the NA group, whereas differences between the DM and NA groups did not reach significance. HbA1c levels were similar between the DM and DM+AMP groups. Functional Comorbidity Index scores were significantly different between all three groups, with the DM+AMP group displaying the greatest scores, the NA group displaying the least scores, and the DM group displaying intermediate scores.

Physical Activity and Physical Function

PA performance is noted in the Table. PA level performed was different for all three groups. The NA group exhibited the greatest amount of PA, followed by the DM and DM+AMP groups. In terms of PA intensity, the DM+AMP group spent a greater amount of time sedentary than the DM and NA groups. The NA group spent more time in vigorous activity than the DM+AMP group, and notably, when calculated to the one-hundredths decimal position, neither the DM nor DM+AMP group was found to perform any vigorous or very vigorous PA. No other differences existed between groups or at other levels of PA intensity.

The DM group performed the TUG significantly faster than the DM+AMP group. The NA group also performed the TUG more quickly than the DM+AMP group, whereas no difference existed between the NA and DM groups. The NA group walked farther in the 2MWT than both the DM and DM+AMP groups. The 2MWT distance was greater in the DM group than in the DM+AMP group.

Correlations Between Physical Activity, Physical Function, and Comorbidities

PA was inversely related to time required to perform the TUG for both the DM+AMP and DM groups, but not the NA group (Figure 1). Similarly, PA performance was directly related to distance walked during the 2MWT for both the DM+AMP and DM groups, but not the NA group (Figure 2). Functional Comorbidity Index score was not related to PA for any group (Figure 3).
Table.
Participant characteristics and performance (mean ± standard deviation unless otherwise noted).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>DM (n = 11)</th>
<th>DM+AMP (n =22)</th>
<th>NA (n =13)</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>62.2 ± 8.3</td>
<td>60.7 ± 15.3</td>
<td>64.1 ± 7.4</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c</td>
<td>7.4 ± 1.6</td>
<td>8.0 ± 1.8</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male (frequency)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female (frequency)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass (kg)</td>
<td>94.5 ± 21.6</td>
<td>97.4 ± 23.6</td>
<td>78.1 ± 13.1</td>
<td>0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>30.4 ± 4.7</td>
<td>32.7 ± 9.1</td>
<td>26.1 ± 2.1</td>
<td>0.04*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional Comorbidity Index</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.7</td>
<td>7.2 ± 2.8</td>
<td>1.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Activity (steps/d)</td>
<td>4,603 ± 2,304</td>
<td>1,721 ± 1,524</td>
<td>7,817 ± 2,833</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All p-values represent the results of a groupwise analysis of variance.

* p < 0.05 DM+AMP vs NA.
† p < 0.05 all comparisons.
‡ p < 0.05 DM vs DM+AMP.
§ p < 0.05 DM vs NA.
DM = type 2 diabetes mellitus, DM+AMP = type 2 diabetes mellitus and transtibial amputation, HbA1c = glycated hemoglobin, NA = nondisabled adult, N/A = not applicable.

DISCUSSION

This study characterized PA in people with DM, people with DM and LLA, and NAs. Nondisabled individuals performed greater amounts of PA than the DM group who, in turn, performed greater amounts of PA than the DM+AMP group. We also examined the relationship among PA, clinical measures of physical function, and number of comorbidities. PA was related to physical function in the DM group as well as the DM+AMP group, but no such relationship existed in the NA group. PA was not related to number of comorbidities in any group.

Previous studies using accelerometry-based PA performance measures observed greater amounts of PA performed by people with LLA when compared with the DM+AMP group (1,721 ± 1,524 steps/d, mean ± SD) in the present study. Parker et al. found individuals with LLA at a variety of levels from various etiologies took 4,217 ± 3,027 steps/d (mean ± SD) [4], and Lin et al. found a similar group of participants took 4,785 ± 1,868 steps/d (mean ± SD) [3]. Bussman et al. has been the only group to investigate PA specific to individuals with dysvascular AMP [8]. Involvement in dynamic PA was 4.3 ± 2.4 percent (mean ± SD) of each day and aligns more closely with our findings because the aforementioned studies included participants with amputation as a result of reasons other than dysvascular issues [8]. Individuals with dysvascular amputation are likely to have performed less PA historically, as evidenced by the activity levels of the DM group. The chronic low activity behavior prior to LLA may carry over to PA performed after amputation.

Several studies have compared PA of people with DM but without amputation with nondisabled controls using accelerometry. The low PA performed by people with DM in this study is consistent with previous findings. Steeves et al. found people with DM to be 33 percent less physically active than NAs, which aligns with the 41 percent difference found in the present study [9]. Similarly, Harris et al. found people with DM take 3,243 fewer steps/d than NAs [24]. This difference is virtually
identical to the 3,214 steps/d variation found between the NA and DM groups in the present study. When comparing studies and/or groups within studies, the context of historic norms can be helpful. Tudor-Locke et al. noted individuals aged 60–64 yr take 4,761 to 6,127 steps daily [25]. Interestingly, this value aligns with the DM group in the present study, and the NA group would be classified in the highest tier of PA [25]. Although both of these groups appear to be more active than those described in previous studies, the DM group still performed less PA than the NA group. This difference is important because lesser amounts of PA can compound issues associated with DM and other comorbidities [26]. Of great relevance to this study is that insufficient amounts of PA in combination with DM are associated with peripheral artery disease, which can lead to dysvascular LLA [26–28].

The World Health Organization recommends that adults perform at least 150 min of moderate-intensity PA every week to maintain their health [29]. Interestingly, all three groups exceeded the recommended amount, with the DM+AMP group accruing 204 min, the DM group accruing 275 min, and the NA group accruing 423 min of moderate-intensity PA on average per week. For “additional health benefits,” however, the World Health Organization recommends 300 min per week of moderate-intensity PA [29]. Only the NA group achieved this level of PA, suggesting that both the DM and DM+AMP groups may need to perform additional PA to improve their physical health.

Previous studies indicate that individuals with amputation have low levels of PA. Although LLA serves as a barrier to PA, increasing PA may alleviate a variety of issues
Figure 3.
Relationship between physical activity (steps/day) and Functional Comorbidity Index score for (a) DM+AMP, (b) DM, and (c) non-disabled groups. DM = type 2 diabetes mellitus, DM+AMP = type 2 diabetes mellitus and transtibial amputation.

associated with amputation. For example, nontraumatic limb amputation is associated with a 54 percent mortality rate within 1 yr of amputation [30]. A review of 44 publications investigating the relationship of PA to mortality indicates that increased energy expenditure of 1,000 kcal per week results in 20 percent to 30 percent reduced all-cause mortality risk [31]. PA also reduces morbidity risk. Moderately and highly active individuals, for example, have 27 percent less chance of stroke than low-active individuals [32]. Low levels of PA for individuals with LLA present great risk in terms of future health complications and death.

Data regarding walking performance as assessed by the 2MWT is limited in people with DM and to an even greater extent in people with DM+AMP. The 2MWT performance demonstrated by the DM+AMP group is similar to values reported in similar populations [19,33]. Brooks et al. reported average distances of approximately 50 m and 140 m for inpatient and outpatient settings, respectively [18]. Gremeaux et al. reported an average distance covered of approximately 100 m [33]. This value is low compared with normative values of 150 m in older adults and aligns with the lower values demonstrated by individuals with DM and DM+AMP [34]. Impaired ambulatory ability in both individuals with DM and those with DM+AMP may indicate health concerns. A review concerning functional tests indicates a relationship between poor 6MWT performance and mortality [35]. This finding is pertinent to the present study based on the strong correlation ($r = 0.892$) of 2MWT and 6MWT results [21].

Similar to the 2MWT, TUG performance was slower in the DM+AMP group compared with the DM and NA groups. TUG times for the DM+AMP group were somewhat slower than those found in previous studies. Samitier et al. noted a mean performance time of 14 s for individuals with AMP [36], and Van de Meent et al. noted a mean performance time of 15 s for individuals with transfemoral amputation [37]. The greater time necessary to complete the TUG may arise from the presence of DM in this group, which was not consistently the case in previous studies. The elapsed time to complete the TUG classifies people with DM+AMP in this study as “slow,” which is associated with greater risk for both morbidity and mortality. A TUG performance time of greater than 20 s presents up to a fourfold increase in morbidity risk, and a “slow” classification confers a 31 percent risk of 1 yr mortality [38–39]. Increased risk of falling may be partly responsible for the increased risk of morbidity and mortality. A TUG performance time of ≥19 s is predictive of falling after AMP [40]. Ambulatory ability indicates that individuals with DM and DM+AMP are at greater risk of morbidity and mortality than NAs.

PA and walking performance were correlated for both DM and DM+AMP groups. This finding is consistent with similar studies performed in other patient populations with lower-limb dysfunction. For example, patients with osteoarthritis demonstrate an association between PA and 20-m walk test performance [41]. Latent growth curve modeling suggests that PA is a key moderator of functional performance and disability in people with DM [42]. Furthermore, recent evidence suggests that lifestyle interventions incorporating increased PA can
improve functional performance in patients with DM [43]. These findings are important because they suggest that PA confers functional performance benefits to individuals with DM and DM+AMP. This relationship to functional performance indicates the importance of PA because quality of life is related to both mobility and balance [1]. These factors are crucial in the ability to care for oneself [1]. Functional performance is also related to lifespan [31,39]. Ultimately, the correlations between PA and functional performance suggest that individuals with DM and DM+AMP may be able to improve their functional performance through PA, thereby potentially improving individuals’ quality of life and longevity.

Previous studies in older populations suggest that comorbidities are associated with impaired walking performance [44–46]. PA is also associated with walking performance [3–4]. We hypothesized that a relationship between PA and number of comorbidities might explain the deficit in functional performance exhibited by participants with DM+AMP and DM. No such relationship existed. It is possible that the present study is underpowered to detect a relationship between comorbidities and PA. Alternatively, the effect of AMP and DM, or DM alone, may limit walking performance to a far greater degree than other comorbidities. Such an effect would overshadow any limitations provided by comorbidities.

Several limitations were present in this study. The PA performed by the NA group in this study classifies them in the “above average” category (5,270–8,099 steps/d) as defined by Tudor-Locke et al. [25]. The DM group was classified as exhibiting “below average” PA (2,706–4,760 steps/d), and the DM+AMP group was classified as exhibiting the “lowest” category of PA (<2,706 steps/d) [25]. While the high level of PA in the NA group could have exacerbated between-group differences, the results align with other studies comparing individuals with LLA with nondisabled controls [8,47]. The magnitude of differences in functional performance of NAs and people with DM or DM+AMP suggests that the differences would remain intact even if the NAs were less active. Similarly, time since diabetes diagnosis was not controlled for and could enhance the generalizability of our findings. Diabetic severity was also not controlled. HbA1c measurements, however, were similar upon comparison of the DM and DM+AMP groups, suggesting that diabetic severity was similar in these groups. In terms of measures, the variability in course length and course surface of the 2MWT could be viewed as a limitation. We investigated the potential relationship of course length to distance walked, finding no correlation between the 2MWT course length and PA. Also, although 2MWT courses were all flat, nonslippery, well lit, and clear of obstacles, other surface characteristics and footwear were not controlled.

CONCLUSIONS

PA levels and intensity are lower in people with DM, and lower still in people with DM and LLA, when compared to NAs. This is clinically relevant because PA is directly related to quality of life, morbidity risk, and longevity. PA is also related to functional performance in people with DM and people with DM and LLA. This novel finding suggests the ability to walk may affect overall performance of PA. Alternatively, PA may alleviate walking problems. This possibility is of great interest given that issues with walking may be modifiable by improved levels and intensity of PA. Finally, PA was not related to number of comorbidities, suggesting that factors beyond multiple morbidities account for group differences in PA performance. Future studies should focus on interventions to increase PA in these clinical populations with the goal of improved functional performance.
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