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2011 JRRD Board Meeting Agenda

1:00 – 1:05 p.m. Welcome from Robert Ruff, Deputy Editor
1:05 – 1:10 p.m. Welcome from RR&D Director’s Office
1:10 – 2:30 p.m. Overview of JRRD Progress, Stacie Yuhasz
2:30 – 3:00 p.m. Veteran Artwork Gallery Viewing Time and Break
3:00 – 3:10 p.m. Veteran Artwork Voting
3:10 – 3:25 p.m. Web Update, David Bartlinski
3:25 – 3:35 p.m. Public Affairs Update, Dore Mobley
3:35 – 3:45 p.m. Social Networking Update, Johanna Gribble
3:45 – 4:00 p.m. Plagiarism Update, Tristan Horrom
4:00 – 5:00 p.m. Discussion and Wrap-up, Stacie Yuhasz
5:00 – 6:00 p.m. Break (Working dinner meeting to follow)
Dinner Meeting Agenda

6:00 – 8:30 p.m.  Working Dinner Meeting

6:45 – 7:15 p.m.  Mary Joan Tooey and Alexa Mayo – University of Maryland, Health Sciences and Human Services Library
“Finding the Right Stuff: Scientists’ Search for Information.”

8:00 – 8:30 p.m.  Stacie Yuhasz - Closing Remarks

Churrascaria
Brazilian Steakhouse
600 East Pratt Street

What’s Hot At Fogo®
GoToMeeting
For Online Participants

1. Please join my meeting.
   https://www3.gotomeeting.com/join/184265262

2. Use your microphone and speakers (VoIP) - a headset is recommended.
   Or, call in using your telephone.
   Dial +1 (314) 627-1501
   Access Code: 519-821-006
   Audio PIN: Shown after joining the meeting
   Meeting ID: 184-265-262

Please NOTE: All GoToMeeting participants will be muted, please type in your questions and I will share them with the group.
Mary Joan Tooey, MLS, AHIP, FMLA
Associate Vice President, Academic Affairs
Executive Director, Health Sciences and Human Services Library
Director, National Network of Libraries of Medicine, Southeastern/Atlantic Region
University of Maryland

Alexa Mayo, MLS, AHIP
Associate Director for Services
Health Sciences and Human Services Library
University of Maryland
Comments from Robert Ruff, MD, PhD
Deputy Editor
Welcoming Remarks

RR&D Director’s Office
Housekeeping Notes

- **Food/Beverages – Adjacent Room**
- **Restrooms –**
  - Exit straight through, 1st door on the left
  - Exit left, go through lobby to the right
- **Veteran Artwork – Adjacent Room**
- **Whisper Room/Podcasting Studio – 4th Floor Studio** (see Nick Lancaster or Robert Williams for escort)
JRRD Mission

To responsibly evaluate and disseminate scientific research findings impacting the rehabilitative healthcare community
Concepts We Will Cover Today

- Editorial Policies/Plagiarism
- Web Site Updates/Social Networking
- ePub
- Readership Survey Results

Theme

Bringing the content to the stakeholder
Upon his retirement from the Board, we want to thank Dr. Dudley S. Childress for his service to JRRD and the broader research community. A professor of physical medicine and rehabilitation and biomedical engineering, Dr. Childress served on JRRD’s Editorial and Scientific Advisory Boards for 32 years. In this capacity, he contributed significantly to the journal's quality, scientific rigor, and prominence.

It has been wonderful working with Dr. Childress and learning from his vast knowledge and experiences. His dedication and hard work have been a motivation to us all. He will be sincerely missed. We wish him happiness in the future and a wonderful time with family and friends.
Looking for a Few Good Board Members...

- Outcomes
- Stroke
- Occupational Therapy
- Geriatrics
- Regenerative Medicine
- Virtual Reality/Gaming Therapy
Impact Factor 2005-2010

Key:

Blue: Standard 2 year Impact Factor
Red: 5 year Impact Factor

2008 = NO WEB Presence: STILL Affects 2 and 5 Year Impact

(JCR = Journal Citation Records, Thompson ISI)
### 2010 JCR Data – 43 Rehab Journals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Abbreviated Journal Title</th>
<th>Total Cites</th>
<th>Impact Factor</th>
<th>5-Year Impact Factor</th>
<th>Immediacy Index</th>
<th>Articles Cited</th>
<th>Half-life</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PHYS THER</td>
<td>6250</td>
<td>2.645</td>
<td>3.158</td>
<td>1.162</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>&gt;10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>J REHABIL RES DEV</td>
<td>2339</td>
<td>1.708</td>
<td>2.142</td>
<td>1.013</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>REHABILITATION</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>1.131</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>NEUROREHAB NEURAL RE</td>
<td>1516</td>
<td>3.772</td>
<td>3.927</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An immediacy index is a measure of how topical and urgent work published in a scientific journal is. The immediacy index is calculated based on the papers published in a journal in a single calendar year. For example, the 2005 immediacy index for a journal would be calculated as follows:

\[
A = \text{the number of times articles published in 2005 were cited in indexed journals during 2005}
\]

\[
B = \text{the number of articles, reviews, proceedings or notes published in 2005}
\]

2005 immediacy index = \( \frac{A}{B} \)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Abbreviated Journal Title (linked to journal information)</th>
<th>Total Cites</th>
<th>Impact Factor</th>
<th>5-Year Impact Factor</th>
<th>Immediacy Index</th>
<th>Articles</th>
<th>Cited Half-life</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>J REHABIL RES DEV</td>
<td>1925</td>
<td>1.367</td>
<td>1.849</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 1 year, due to increased web dissemination, a continual web presence, as well as increased social networking, we moved from the 24th position to the 2nd position in the Immediacy Index.

“Such information is useful in determining which journals are publishing in emerging areas of research.”
### JRRD Journal Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistic</th>
<th>MTD</th>
<th>Prior 12 Months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Avg. days from submission to first decision</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>56.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Reviewer turnaround time (days) - <strong>Original</strong></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Reviewer turnaround time (days) - <strong>Revision</strong></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Time to Assign Reviewer (days) - <strong>Original</strong></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Time to Assign Reviewer (days) - <strong>Revision</strong></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. days from submission to final decision</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>93.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other JRRD Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistic</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accept Ratio (prior 12 months)</td>
<td>107 : 192 (55.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Pending Manuscripts</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Countries Submitting to JRRD

- 2004: 14 Countries Submitted
- 2008: 25 Countries Submitted
- 2010: 31 Countries Submitted
JRRD Editorial Policies

- Updated January 2011
- In Packet
- New sections: Authorship, Specific Contributions, Video/Audio, Plagiarism and Fabrication, Conflict of Interest (ICMJE common form)
JRRD Submission Policies

- Updated January 2011
- In Packet
- Specific details of submission process for review and post-acceptance submission process
- New COI (ICMJE) common form
- Video/Audio links from manuscript to online content
- Public domain clarification
NEW: *JRRD* Manuscript Submission Checklist
NEW: *JRRD* Figure Checklist
NEW: Conflict of Interest Form
Required: Statement of Originality Form
This article and any supplementary material should be cited as follows: Dunn AS, Julian T, Formolo LR, Green BN, Chicoine DR. Preliminary analysis of posttraumatic stress disorder screening within specialty clinic setting for OIF/OEF veterans seeking care for neck or back pain. J Rehabil Res Dev. 2011;48(5):493–502. DOI:10.1682/JRRD.2010.05.0104
CrossRef has created a system to automatically email publishers statistics on the number of DOI resolutions through the DOI proxy server (http://dx.doi.org/) on a month-by-month basis.

These numbers give an indication of the use of our DOIs and the traffic coming to our site from users clicking DOIs. The DOI links are largely from links in other publishers' journal references to our articles, but they are also from DOI links in secondary databases, links from libraries using DOIs, and even DOIs used in print versions.

The overall resolution failure rate for all publishers is 2% and our failure rate is 1%.

Resolution Report for prefix 10.1682 from Jul 6, 2011 (average 946):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resolutions</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>914</td>
<td>1,760</td>
<td>1,712</td>
<td>1,120</td>
<td>771</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>772</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CrossRef Indicators

- Total no. participating publishers & societies 3509
- % of non-profit publishers 57%
- Total no. participating libraries 1,749
- **No. journals covered 24,716**
- No. DOIs registered to date 47,513,310
- No. DOIs deposited in previous month 495,113
- DOI resolutions (end-user clicks) in previous month 45,904,871

http://www.crossref.org/crweblog/
Dear Dore -

Please accept our thanks for taking the time to nominate your project for VA’s 2010 Green Routine Awards. As VA strives to meet its sustainability performance goals, we rely on individuals and teams of employees like you, who draw on their unique experiences and perspectives to identify innovative ways of reducing our environmental footprint. We commend you for the initiative you have displayed in enhancing VA’s environmental stewardship……..

Sincerely,

James M. Sullivan
VA Senior Sustainability Officer
Welcome to the JRRD eAlert, your “green alternative” to the print journal. Click on the icons to view associated content.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Links to special features</th>
<th>Article Titles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not all research articles have associated special features at this time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preliminary analysis of posttraumatic stress disorder screening within specialty clinic setting for OIF/OEF veterans seeking care for neck or back pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Audiometric thresholds and prevalence of tinnitus among male veterans in the United States: Data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999-2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Responsiveness of the Canadian Occupational Performance Measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Performance testing of collision-avoidance system for power wheelchairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reliability of standardized assessment for adults who are deafblind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decreased central fatigue in multiple sclerosis patients after 8 weeks of surface functional electrical stimulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Techniques to measure rigidity of ankle-foot orthosis: A review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evaluations of neuromuscular dynamics of hyperactive reflexes poststroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New method for determining apparent axial center of rotation of lumbar and thoracic spine segments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cognitive impairment as barrier to engagement in vocational services among veterans with severe mental illness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Gait Trainer (GT): (a) Frontal and (b) lateral views of nondisabled subject performing GT session, and (c) GT controller showing number of strides performed (not number of steps, as incorrectly shown on GT controller) and effective body-weight support measured by GT dynamometer. At bottom are step length selector and walking speed selector (which is actually cadence selector).
JRRD Slideshow Project (JSP)

- JRRD's newest "value-added" feature.
- The goal is to give our authors and readers not only a sneak peak of the latest articles but also a valuable teaching/lecture tool, because the ready-made slides can be easily incorporated into new or existing PowerPoint presentations.
- Each slide includes a complete citation to ensure that viewers know the material is from JRRD.
Welcome to the JRRD eAlert, your "green alternative" to the print journal. Click on the icons to view associated content.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Links to special features</th>
<th>Article Titles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not all research articles have associated special features at this time.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Two-degree-of-freedom powered prosthetic wrist
- Target Achievement Control Test: Evaluating real-time myoelectric pattern recognition control of multifunctional upper-limb prostheses
- Comparison of electromyography and force as interfaces for prosthetic control
- Electromyogram pattern recognition for control of powered upper-limb prostheses: State of the art and challenges for clinical use
- Use of two-axis joystick for control of externally powered shoulder disarticulation prostheses
- Evaluation of shoulder complex motion-based input strategies for endpoint prosthetic-limb control using dual-task paradigm
- Prosthetic sockets stabilized by alternating areas of tissue compression and release
- Development and testing of new upper-limb prosthetic devices: Research designs for usability testing
- Using virtual reality environment to facilitate training with advanced upper-limb prosthesis
- Myoelectric forearm prostheses: State of the art from a user-centered perspective
- Electromyogram-based neural network control of transhumeral prostheses
Web 2.0/Social Networking

- Facebook
- Twitter JRRD @JRRDeditor Baltimore, MD
- RSS Feeds
- Podcasting (available from iTunes, transcripts available online)
- Video
New Spotlight Section

We’ve updated our editorial policies and launched new tools to help you write, format, and submit manuscripts faster and easier.

Check out our most popular articles and features, including the most cited, most downloaded, and most listened-to.

See.
Submission Checklist
Editorial Policies
Online Writing Guide

Read.

Listen.

Most Cited
Most Downloaded
Most Listened
JRRD At A Glance
Layperson’s Summary

- JRRD at a Glance Index
- JRRD de un Vistazo en español Index
- Incorporation into Topic Cluster Index
GPO Bookstore – Segue into ePub

- JRRD content effective 48(6) available on GPO Bookstore
- PowerPoint slides in packet describing GPO program
- GPO assists with creating a “Ladder of Engagement”
- Content will soon be available on Google Books
GPO eBook Program

- Offer extensive dissemination services:
  - Public Libraries
  - Academic Libraries
  - Online retail

- No additional cost to JRRD and signed agreements with aforementioned parties already in place

- Part of the JRRD effort to "Go Green"
### 2009 AAP Industry Statistics Report for Professional and Scholarly (PSP) Journals

**Subscriber Preference: Print vs. Electronic Subscriptions**

**Institutional Subscribers (Drive the Market)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th># Inst. Subscribers</th>
<th>Print+Electronic</th>
<th>Print Only</th>
<th>Electronic Only</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2,360,838</td>
<td>36.5%</td>
<td>50.4%</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2,575,767</td>
<td>35.9%</td>
<td>46.9%</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2,358,908</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
<td>47.6%</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E-subscription increasing

Tagler J. From the Executive Director’s Desk. PSP Bulletin Volume 10, No 1&2, Winter/Spring 2011

AAP = Association of American Publishers
Increasing Content Value for Mobile Applications

- **Repurpose it**: Information reuse across desktop & mobile apps using small content chunks.
- **Deliver it**: Create Applications for multiple channels including mobile.
- **Contextualize it**: Use Location, Activity, Identity, Time and Device to contextualize information delivery.
- **Socialize it**: Leverage the collective intelligence of your user community. Increase the value of your apps.
- **Measure it**: Track, analyze & tune the effectiveness of information delivered to your audience.

PSP Conference 2011
Scott Lubeck (Book Industry Study Group), Survey on “Consumer Attitudes Toward E-Book Reading.”

Survey Pool/Summary: 44,000 men, women, teens.

E-Reader device trends demonstrate e-readers rapidly replacing PCs as consumer-preferred e-book reading devices.

Challenge: Reach the consumer!

Tagler J. From the Executive Director’s Desk. PSP Bulletin Volume 10, No 1&2, Winter/Spring 2011
QUESTION:
What device do you now use most frequently to read ebooks?

Select only one.

- Dedicated e-readers
- Desktop, laptop or notebook computers

Fig. 14

© 2011, the Book Industry Study Group, Inc.
Nook & Kindle e-Readers

• **Nook** = Barnes & Noble
  - Acquire content from JRRD web site directly, Google books, planning ePub content 2012

• **Kindle** = Amazon
  - Acquire content from JRRD web site by emailing free Kindle account, at this time Kindle cannot access Google Books (propriety software restrictions)
iPad = Apple and other Tablets

- Can readily download JRRD content from web, Google books, etc.
- The VA expects to enable employees to use iPhones, iPads, Androids and other popular mobile devices on the departmental network by Oct. 1, 2011, VA Chief Information Officer Roger Baker has announced.

Jul 1, 2011 Federal Computer Week
Refreshments and Veteran Artwork Gallery Viewing Time
2011 NVCAF Contestants for 2012 JRRD Cover Artwork

Choose 5

The 5 winners will be featured on the 2012 journal covers.
JRRD

(www.rehab.research.va.gov/jrrd)

Web Development and Analytics

David Bartlinski
Web Development and Analytics

- Hosted by AITC
- Analyzed data are provided by WebTrends
  also hosted and maintained by AITC
- All location data provided by Google Analytics
JRRD Web Development
(www.rehab.research.va.gov/jrrd)

Aesthetics

- New Design
- New Graphics
- Dynamic Content
- Clarity of Content
JRRD Web Development
(www.rehab.research.va.gov/jrrd)

Functionality:
- Navigation
- Organization
- Cross Platform/Browser Compatibility
- Section 508 Accessibly Compliant
JRRD Web Development

(www.rehab.research.va.gov/jrrd)

Technology

- Video
- RSS News Feeds
- PodCasts
- PowerPoint Image Slides
- PowerPoint SlideSets (JSP)
JRRD Web Analytics
(www.rehab.research.va.gov/jrrd)

- Projected Total Downloads in 2010
  4.7 million

- Total Downloads 2010
  5.3 million
JRRRD Downloads 2004-2011
Referring sites

1. google / organic 25.59%
2. (direct) / (none) 19.79%
3. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov / referral 18.57%
4. index.va.gov / referral 7.71%
5. bing / organic 3.44%
6. yahoo / organic 2.86%
7. research.va.gov / referral 2.34%
8. google.com / referral 1.15%
9. facebook.com / referral 0.56%
10. freemedicaljournals.com / referral 0.56%
JRRD Accessed by 95 Countries
JRRD Website Traffic

- 11 Different Platforms
  - Windows
  - macOS
  - Linux
- 13 Different Browsers
  - Firefox
  - Chrome
  - Safari
  - Others
- Mobile Traffic up 81%
JRRD Website Technology

- **Video**: 4995 views on YouTube
- **RSS Feed**: 3498 views per month
- **PodCast**: 932 views per month
- **PowerPoint image slides**:
  - 2009: 12 per month
  - 2010: 2395 per month
  - 2011: 6879 per month
JRRD Website New Features

- Spanish Translated JRRD At a Glance Section:
  683 Views Month of Launch
  3194 Views per Month
- Video DOI Watermark
- JRRD Article Slide-Sets (JSP)
- Go Green
- Scroll-Free Article Presentation
JRRD Website Outlook

- Continue to analyze and adjust our Web presence according to new technologies and traffic data
- Increase distribution with Web 2.0 applications
- Continue to provide a positive user experience
2011 Readership Survey

Dore Mobley

Is JRRD Relevant?
2011 JRRD READER SURVEY

Goals

- Confirm reader profile
- Assess value perception
- Gauge awareness of new features

Top Findings

- 60% male
- 50% ages 35-54
- 62% researchers
- 90% agree or strongly agree JRRD is informative
- At a Glance and eAlert most popular features
IN A NUTSHELL...

- **Duration:** April 27- June 9, 2011
- **Administration:** Online
- **Inducement:** JRRD lapel pin
- **Respondents:** 405
- **Response Rate:** 7%
THE RESULTS

- 87% read journal online or in print
- 90% spend 6-30 min. reading article
- 76% read articles most or all of the time
- 92% would continue to read journal if available online only
### OPINION: JOURNAL QUALITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Good to Excellent</th>
<th>Fair to Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Analytical Rigor</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Application</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readability</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design and Appearance</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online Accessibility</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of Single-Topic Issues</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**OPINION: PUBLISHING EXPERIENCE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Good to Excellent</th>
<th>Fair to Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manuscript Submission</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer-Review Process</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Editing/Production</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publication</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Respondents’ Specialty

- Neurorehabilitation
- Assistive Technology
- Functional Outcomes Research
- Physical Exercise/Training
- Spinal Cord Injury
- Prosthetics
- Traumatic Brain Injury
- Geriatric Rehabilitation
- Amputation
- Sensory Rehabilitation
- Orthotics
LOCATION OF RESPONDENTS

- United States: 63% (American flag)
- Canada: 7% (Canadian flag)
- Italy: 7% (Italian flag)
- Iran: 7% (Iranian flag)
- United Kingdom: 7% (British flag)
- France: 7% (French flag)
ACCESS GATEWAYS

- PubMed
- Search Engine
- JRRD Bookmark
- eAlert
- RSS Feed
- Facebook

[Bar chart showing percentage usage of each gateway]
OPINION: PREFERRED ONLINE FORMAT

- PDF
- Printed PDF
- HTML
- EPUB
MOVING FORWARD

- Use results to inform decision making
- Adapt offerings to suit users’ needs
- Engage users through social networks to build and strengthen existing relationships
- Identify cost-saving measures
Social Media Metrics

Johanna L. Gribble
As of July 15, 2011...

- 659 Fans
- 20+ Countries
- 12,000+ Post Views
Facebook Metrics

(Jun 13-Jul 12, 2011)
Demographics:
Gender and Age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>13-17</th>
<th>18-24</th>
<th>25-34</th>
<th>35-44</th>
<th>45-54</th>
<th>55+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.46%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.31%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
More Metrics

Top Users
- 163 Spain
- 139 United States
- 47 Colombia
- 39 Argentina
- 29 Mexico

Active Users
- 284 Spanish
- 191 English (U.S.)
- 83 Spanish (Spain)
- 21 Portuguese (Portugal)
- 18 English (U.K.)
JRRD Twitter

As of July 15, 2011...

- 119 Tweets
- 24 Followers
- 85 Following
- 5 Mentions
Coming Soon!

Google+
Plagiarism

- Last summer we instituted use of iThenticate powered by CrossRef
- ALL accepted papers are run through iThenticate
- Plagiarism defined clearly in the JRRD Editorial Policies (page 11)
“Is plagiarism a sin if the duplicated material is one’s own?”*

“A sin takes on new and real terrors when there seems a chance that it is going to be found out.”

Mark Twain, in *The Man That Corrupted Hadleyburg* (1899)
Plagiarism Detection: iThenticate

Tristan Horrom
What is iThenticate?

A plagiarism detection system that checks manuscript text against:

- 14 billion websites.
- 47 million discrete DOIs.
- abstracts, conference proceedings, lecture notes, etc.

Connected to PubMed, CrossRef, EBSCOhost, SAGE, and others.

Used by organizations such as IEEE, Nature, NEJM, Elsevier, HarperCollins, NSF, and WHO.

http://www.ithenticate.com/
http://www.crossref.org/
How does JRRD use iThenticate?

From JRRD Editorial Policies:

“Plagiarism includes copying or paraphrasing text from others or self-work (self-plagiarism), copying equations or graphical renditions not commonly known, using previously published data, and using copied or altered photographs. Plagiarism is a violation of copyright law and is punishable by statute.”

Every article is run through iThenticate before it is edited.

- 124 articles tested.
- 21 articles found in violation.

Most we catch are self-plagiarism. We have only had one example of external plagiarism.
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classified veterans by the healthcare system(s) in which they received outpatient healthcare during the predialysis period:

VA outpatient care only (VA-Only), (2)

VA and Medicare outpatient care (Dual), and (3) Medicare outpatient care only (Medicare-Only). Adapting a previously developed algorithm (32), healthcare system determination was made from VA and/or Medicare encounters in the following types of outpatient care: primary care, specialty care, auxiliary clinic care, and psychiatric care. Any single VA or Medicare encounter for any of these four groups was considered evidence of healthcare use in that particular system.
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