

# ***Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development***

## **Instructions to Reviewers**

If after downloading this manuscript from Manuscript Central you believe that a conflict of interest exists that might interfere with your ability to review the manuscript fairly, please notify the Editor of this conflict immediately. If for any reason you should find it impossible to act on a manuscript within the stated time limits, please suggest other reviewers.

Contributed research and development papers will, in general, describe completed and fully interpreted results of original research. Review papers will be considered only when they serve to provide new research approaches or stimulate further worthwhile research in a significant area.

In your critique (which should be prepared in a Word document and then copied and pasted into your reviewer page in Manuscript Central), please thoroughly address the manuscript's scientific merit and quality of presentation. The following areas must be commented on and addressed:

- Is the Abstract informative and does it give the essence of the research in clear, sufficient terms (i.e., the nature of the problem, the significant data, the results, and the conclusions)? The abstract should be self-explanatory and suitable for reproduction by abstracting services or info-retrieval systems without rewriting.
- Is the Experimental Question clearly stated and significant in the context of a known scientific problem?
- Methodology: Are the research design and methods detailed and clearly presented?
- Are the Statistical Methods detailed enough to enable the readers to verify the results?
- Does the list of Key Words reflect the central topic?
- If the manuscript is Acceptable, provide a brief statement describing its significant contribution, as well as any suggestions for minor improvements, if needed.
- **If the manuscript is recommended for Rejection, state the major reasons specifically and in language appropriate for transmission to the authors.**
- If a manuscript appears to be acceptable but requires modifications, suggest revisions precisely.

Reviewers are requested to refrain from communicating directly with authors or from disclosing their identity without prior editorial consent. The contents of the manuscript are the property of the author. The reviewer should treat the manuscript as a confidential communication and not discuss it with anyone except the Journal Editor.

The scientific quality of *JRRD* is strongly related to the quality of the review effort. Because of the diverse subject matters covered by *JRRD*, the Editor relies heavily on reviewer opinion and comments even when the recommendations are strongly for rejection. For this reason, **recommendations that are returned without written comments will be discarded.**

*Does the manuscript comply with "Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals," developed by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (<http://www.icmje.org/>)? If not, please point this out to the authors.*

**\*PLEASE RETAIN A COPY OF YOUR REVIEW.**