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# Upper Extremity Research Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENERGETICS</th>
<th>NOW</th>
<th>5YEARS</th>
<th>10YEARS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Track commercial developments of batteries &amp; alternative power sources</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTROL</th>
<th>NOW</th>
<th>5YEARS</th>
<th>10YEARS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| *Pattern recognition / multi-functional control*  
*Clinical evaluation of EPP systems* |
| *Implantable myoelectric sensors*  
*Close the loop using stimulations (feedback for myoelectric)* |
| Neural control using peripheral nerves |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUSPENSION</th>
<th>NOW</th>
<th>5YEARS</th>
<th>10YEARS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| *Implement self-suspending (AE) techniques - Harness for control*  
*Criteria for osseointegrated upper-limb prostheses* |
| *Develop models to evaluate harness and suspension techniques and physiological loading* |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COSMETICS</th>
<th>NOW</th>
<th>5YEARS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Durable High Definition Gloves</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MECHANISMS</th>
<th>NOW</th>
<th>5YEARS</th>
<th>10YEARS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| *Hybrid power elbows*  
*Robust locking shoulders* |
| *Compliant hands*  
*3 function / 2 DOF wrists*  
*Teach commercial developments in alternative activation technology*  
*Lighter powered elbows with near physiological function* |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OUTCOMES</th>
<th>NOW</th>
<th>5YEARS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| *Design study to follow WRAMC amputees*  
*Implement / adopt current evaluation techniques to this amputee population.*  
*Develop tests to measure component outcomes* |
| *Create database of veterans fit with upper limb prostheses for retrospective studies of prosthetic intervention - successes / failures* |
Goals for New Research

1. Give trans-radial amputees full simultaneous control of three wrist functions and at least two hand functions (e.g. implantable electrodes, pattern recognition schemes)

2. Promote investigation of nerve-muscle grafts at the trans-humeral level for control of the elbow and hand/wrist functions

3. Improve suspension methods/alternatives

4. Promote surgical/prosthetic methods to achieve active internal-external rotation of the forearm by users of trans-humeral prostheses

5. Give shoulder disarticulation amputees a functional shoulder joint

6. Improve/promote control methods that incorporate feedback of position, velocity, and force (e.g. body-powered cable systems, and powered E.P.P.-type systems, miniature cineplasty interfaces)

7. Identify power sources with greater energy density

8. Utilize WRAMC as a model to promote team approach to care of persons with arm amputations and to develop outcome measures

9. New components and coverings

10. Surgical interventions
Required to support Goal 1: Research on Implantable Myosignal Transducers

- Will permit acquiring signals from individual superficial and deep muscles
- Potential of mapping muscles onto the control of appropriate mechanisms (e.g. supinator to control supination of wrist rotator)
- Potential for simultaneous control of multiple functions
- Available in three – five years
- Explore closing control/feedback loop with implanted stimulators (BION)
  - Five - ten years
Required to support Goal 1: Multifunction Control for the Trans-radial

- Greater number of control sources (with implantable electrodes or pattern recognition schemes) opens up the possibility of more functions
- New wrist components
  - Powered wrist flexion; powered radial/ulnar deviation; powered pronation/supination; combined actions in single device
- Hands with separate thumb positioning and grip control
  - Compliant grasping
Required to support Goal 2: Research to Implement Nerve-muscle Grafts

- Sponsor research on identifying functional nerve fasicles in the operating room for assisting the neurosurgeon during reimplantations and for doing these new nerve attachments
- Discover best ways to isolate reinnervated partial muscles to minimize cross talk
- Additional funding would accelerate the pace of this research
- Funding is also needed to replicate the technique at other centers
Required to support Goal 3: 
Improving Suspension

- At the trans-humeral level
  - Marquardt-Neff angulation osteotomy (retains physiological humeral rotation and provides suspension)
  - implanting a titanium T in the end of the humerus (Christiansen et al in Norway)

- Identify appropriate levels for osseointegration
  - Trans-humeral fittings to date have been only cosmetic prostheses – why?
  - Possibilities for the shoulder disarticulation?

- Suspension methods that do not rely on body harness would free up harness for control only could improve comfort and acceptance of user
  - Examples: suction sockets; suspension sleeves; osseointegration

- Develop models to evaluate harness and suspension techniques and physiological loading
Partly supports Goal 4: Control of internal-external rotation

- Replace humeral rotation friction joints with lockable components
  - Both mechanical and electric lock/unlock options
- Develop electric powered positioning component
  - double no-back clutch
  - low power
- Investigate use of implanted magnet at end of the humerus to control motion of internal-external rotation
Partly supports Goal 5:

**Improve the Locking Shoulder Joint**

- Must be reliable for a heavy user for five years
- The lock should be as easy to operate manually or with a cable as a typical alternator
- An instant-action electric lock is also needed
- Provide a lock in abduction
- Abduction needs gravity compensation as provided in the Bock AFB
Supports Goal 6:

**Force or Position Servo Control**

- EPP (Extended Physiological Proprioception) Servo systems can give greater feedback to the user and are independent of myoelectric control
  - most useful when the device driven can move at physiological speeds
- Servo control requires a “sleep” or set-it-and-forget-it circuit
- This technology is ready to implement
  - A good position feedback kit for Bock hands already exists and the Boston elbow has the required circuits but should be faster for EPP
Supports Goal 7:

**Energy Dense Power Sources**

- Improvements in energy storage are driven by large markets like cellular phones.
- Manufacturers of powered prosthetic components will monitor these developments without further outside help.
Supports Goal 8:
WRAMC as Model for Team Amputee Care

- WRAMC to use or rework existing manipulative tests to quantify current amputee performance
- Develop outcome measurement tools appropriate to the relatively smaller upper-limb amputee population.
- WRAMC/VA could track amputees for retrospective studies of success/ failure/ preferences of upper-limb prosthetic fittings
  - WRAMC fits each person with three types of prostheses (body-powered, myoelectrically-controlled, aesthetic)
    - Unique opportunity unavailable at this scale in the private sector
  - Identify relevant factors when prosthesis use is abandoned
  - Identify psychological effects relevant to integration of prosthesis into body image
Supports Goal 8: WRAMC as Model for Team Amputee Care

- Develop amputee focus groups to identify areas requiring improvement
  - User’s perspective
  - Participant should have at least one year of experience using a prosthesis
    » Allows for time to make psychosocial adjustment
    » Judgments based on experience
      - Newly-amputated person generally has unrealistic expectations
    » Experience helps in prioritizing importance of identified areas

- Consider use of VA QUERI group to provide ongoing review of research/procedures pertinent to WRAMC/VA needs in upper-limb amputee care
Supports Goal 9:

Components and Coverings

- Continue research on body-powered components
  - Have many features desired by users but also have deficiencies
- Existing devices need to be lighter to incorporate more active joints within a given prosthesis
- New devices need to emphasize lightweight design
  - Get input from DOD and NASA, and other government agencies / private sector manufacturers on lightweight materials
- Durable, high definition cosmetic gloves
- Hybrid elbows with power assist/body-power actuation
- Revisit VA-sponsored research from last 20 years to see which projects failed “technology transfer” and need a second try
  - Technologies come of age and become ready for implementation
Partly supports Goal 10:

**Surgical Issues**

- Is their value to lengthening the humerus or the radius and ulna for prosthetic fitting
  - What are the criteria?
- Educate the surgical community on the need for myodesis and myoplasty to prepare the residual limb for prosthetic fitting
- Consideration of the Krukenberg procedure or the Wilkie procedure for blinded individuals with bilateral arm amputations
  - Provides sensation
  - Could be covered with aesthetic prosthesis for social purposes
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**OUTCOMES**

- Compliant hands
- 3 function / 2 DOF wrists
- Teach commercial developments in alternative activation technology
- Lighter powered elbows with near physiological function

**COSMETICS**

- Develop models to evaluate harness and suspension techniques and physiological loading

**CONTROL**

- Pattern recognition / multifunctional control
- Clinical evaluation of EPP systems

**ENERGETICS**

- Track commercial developments of batteries & alternative power sources

**MECHANISMS**

- Hybrid power elbows
- Robust locking shoulders